## Appendix

## A Functions

We list the functions considered in this work in Table 1. Each function can only be applied on certain kinds of nodes, i.e., its domain.

## B Query Minimization

Here we give a more formal description of our query minimization algorithm. Suppose a knowledge base $\mathcal{K}$ is given to compute the answer of graph queries, then the following concepts can be naturally defined.
Definition 1 (Redundant Component) A component in a graph query $q$ is redundant iff. removing it does not change the answer $\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K}$.
Definition 2 (Minimal Query) A graph query q is minimal iff. there is no sub-query $q^{\prime}$, i.e., a graph query resulted from removing any number of components from $q$, such that $\llbracket q^{\prime} \rrbracket \mathcal{K}=\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K}$, except $q$ itself.

## Definition 3 (Equivalent Minimal Query) Given

 two graph queries $q$ and $q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}$ is an equivalent minimal query of $q$ iff. (1) $\llbracket q^{\prime} \rrbracket \mathcal{K}=\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K}$, and (2) $q^{\prime}$ is minimal.Note that we define redundancy based on a given knowledge base because it suffices for our purpose. KB -independent minimization is out of the scope of this work, but is of interest for future study.

Our query minimization algorithm is outlined in Algorithm 1. We first examine every edge (in an arbitrary order), and remove an edge if it is redundant. So the dateOfBirth edge in Figure 1(a) will be removed. Some nodes may become disconnected to the question node after removing redundant edges, which indicates that the node is redundant. We thus delete the redundant nodes as well, e.g., the date node in Figure 1(a). The query in Figure 1(b) is produced as output.


Figure 1: Query minimization: (a) a query with redundant components, (b) a corresponding equivalent minimal query.

Proof. The proof is straightforward. For convenience, we assume there is only one question node, but the proof generalizes to graph queries with multiple question nodes easily. When there is no function on the question node (count, max or min), the answer is a set of individuals. Since a component in a graph query imposes some constraint on the answer, removing it will not eliminate any individual from the answer, rather, the answer will only stay unchanged or get expanded. More formally, given a knowledge base $\mathcal{K}$, for a graph query $q$ and a subquery $q^{\prime}$, we have $\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K} \subseteq \llbracket q^{\prime} \rrbracket \mathcal{K}$. When there is a function on the question node, the answer is a single number, but the same assertion holds. For example, if there is a count function on the question node, it is asserted that the answer, i.e., the answer cardinality of the graph query without the function, will be non-decreasing when iteratively removing other components of the graph query.

```
Algorithm 1: Graph Query Minimization
    Input: a graph query \(q\), a knowledge base \(\mathcal{K}\)
    Output: an equivalent minimal query of \(q\)
    foreach edge e of \(q\) do
        \(q^{\prime} \leftarrow q\) with \(e\) removed
        if \(\llbracket q^{\prime} \rrbracket \mathcal{K}=\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K}\) then
            \(q \leftarrow q^{\prime}\)
        end
    end
    Remove nodes disconnected to the question
    node
    return \(q\)
```

To prove the generated query, denoted as $q^{\prime}$, is an equivalent minimal query of the input query $q$, we need to prove (1) $\llbracket q^{\prime} \rrbracket \mathcal{K}=\llbracket q \rrbracket \mathcal{K}$, and (2) $q^{\prime}$ is minimal. The former is guaranteed by the construction of Algorithm 1. To prove the latter, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. When components (except for the question nodes) are iteratively removed from a graph query, the answer will change monotonically.

Lemma 1 precludes such possibilities: Removing one component changes the answer, and subsequently removing another component changes the

| Category | Counting | Superlative |  | Comparative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Functions | count | max and min | argmax and argmin | $<,>, \leq$, and $\geq$ |
| Domain | Question node | Question node of numeric class | Template/grounded node of numeric class | Template/grounded node of numeric class |
| Example |  |  |  |  |
| Question | How many launch sites does nasa have? | What's the smallest internal storage of ipad? | Find the largest concert venue. | List distilled spirits with no more than $40.0 \%$ abv. |

Table 1: Functions considered in this work. Domain is the type of nodes a function can be applied on.


Figure 2: Component probability distributions. Components are ranked in descending order by their probability.
answer back. Therefore, it is not necessary to enumerate all the sub-queries in order to check the minimality of a graph query. Rather, we only need to examine the redundancy of each component. In other words, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.1. A graph query is minimal iff. it has no redundant component.

In addition, a single scan of the edges in an arbitrary order, as Algorithm 1 does, is sufficient, because an irredundant component will not become redundant when other components are removed.

Theorem 2. The graph query resulted from Algorithm 1 is an equivalent minimal query of the input query.

Proof. The answer stays unchanged by construction. After removing the redundant edges and nodes, the resulted query has no redundant component, and is therefore minimal according to Corollary 1.1. Following the definition, the resulted query is an equivalent minimal query of the input query.

## C Probability Distribution of Freebase Components

We count the frequency $n^{\prime}$ of each component in the Freebase. For an entity $e, n^{\prime}(e)=1$; for a class $c, n^{\prime}(c)$ is its number of instances; and for a relation $r, n^{\prime}(r)$ is the number of facts of this relation. We then add the mention counts from FACC1 with the counts from Freebase to estimate the probability of Freebase components. The probability of literal classes are solely determined by their number of instances, and the probability of a literal instance is the same as the corresponding literal class. The distributions are shown in Figure 2. Entities with no mention in FACC1 are not shown in Figure 2(a). Classes and relations are from a filtered ontology where the User domain and the Freebase domain are removed. Relations shown in the figure are in the class.relation format.

## D Fine-grained Statistics of GraphQuestions

Fine-grained statistics of GraphQuestions on different characteristics are shown in Figure (3-9).

Sub-distributions of the training set and the testing set are also shown. Note that in addition to the commonness of the whole query (Figure 6), we also give the commonness of topic entities (Figure 7). As a comparison, we also give the commonness distribution of topic entities of WebQuestions (Figure 8). GraphQuestions contains topic entities over a broader range of commonness than WEbQuestions. Overall GraphQuestions exhibits a good diversity in all the examined characteristics. More example questions are listed in Table 2.

## E Experiment Configuration Details

All the systems (Sempre, ParaSempre, and JaCANA) are trained on the training set and tested on the testing set of GraphQuestions, and use Freebase as the knowledge base. For Sempre, the grammar is the one from the original paper, the maximum training iteration is 3 , the beam size is 100 for training, 200 for testing ${ }^{1}$. The training took 5 days. For ParaSempre, the maximum training iteration is 3 ,
the number of threads is 20 for training, 1 for testing. The training took 27 hours. Both Sempre and ParaSempre cache historical SPARQL query results in order to save time. The cache from training is allowed to use during testing. For Jacana, the top-1 topic entity retrieved from the Freebase Search API is used for both training and testing ${ }^{2}$. Same as the original paper, we down-sample the negative examples with a ratio of 0.2 . The classifier is logistic regression with L1 regularization. The training took 1.5 hours.

Experiments are run on a Linux server with Intel Xeon E7-8837 processors ( 2.67 GHz ) and 1 T memory. A Virtuoso database is run on the same server to provide access to Freebase, so network IO cost is minimized.
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Figure 3: Characteristics distribution of GRaPhQuESTIONS: Structure Complexity.


Figure 4: Characteristics distribution of GraphQuestions: Function.


Figure 5: Characteristics distribution of GraphQuestions: Answer Cardinality.


Figure 6: Characteristics distribution of GraphQuestions: Commonness. Note that $x=-5$ indicates the commonness range $-10 \leq \log _{10}(p(q))<0$.


Figure 7: Characteristics distribution of GraphQuestions: Topic Commonness. When there are multiple topic entities, the most common one is used. Note that $x=-5$ indicates the commonness range $-6 \leq \log _{10}(p(q))<-4 . \log _{10}(p(e))=0$ means there is no topic entity, e.g., "What's the smallest Spanish autonomous city?"


Figure 8: Topic commonness distribution of WebQuestions. Note that $x=-5$ indicates the commonness range $-6 \leq$ $\log _{10}(p(q))<-4 . \log _{10}(p(e))=0$ means there is no topic entity, e.g., "What's the smallest Spanish autonomous city?"


Figure 9: Characteristics distribution of GraphQuestions: Paraphrase. The $x$-axis is the number of paraphrases, while the $y$-axis indicates how many graph queries have this number of paraphrases.

| Question | Domain | Answer | \# of edges | Function | $\log _{10}(p(q))$ | $\|\mathbf{A}\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| how many scottish clans live in united kingdom? | Scottish Clans | 4 | 1 | count | -12.11 | 1 |
| give me the count of scottish clans in united kingdom. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| how many clans from scotland still exist in the uk? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| what solid materials fuse at $\mathbf{4 3 5 . 4}$ joules/mole and above? | Materials | Titanium, Beryllium, Aluminium oxide | 1 | comp. | -17.04 | 3 |
| which materials need to take at least $\mathbf{4 3 5 . 4}$ joules of heat to fuse for one mole? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| name all the solid materials the fusion of which need at least 435.4 joules for a mole. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| who influenced paul the apostle? | Influence | Jesus Christ | 1 | none | -8.93 | 1 |
| by whom was paul the apostle influenced? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| who made a significant influence on paul? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| find rockets made by chrysler group llc that support low earth orbit. | Spaceflight | Saturn I, <br> Saturn IB | 2 | none | -25.76 | 2 |
| which of chrysler group llc's rockets are capable of low earth orbit? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| which low earth orbit rockets are made by chrysler? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| what is the nutritional composition of coca-cola soda? | Food | Sugar, Caffeine | 2 | none | -18.34 | 19 |
| what is the supplement information for coca-cola? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| what kind of nutrient does coke have? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| which tropical cyclone in the 2008 atlantic hurricane season caused the most fatalities? | Meteorology | Hurricane Hanna | 2 | super. | -29.36 | 1 |
| which 2008 atlantic hurricane season's tropical cyclone was the most deadly? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| which of the atlantic hurricane season 2008's tropical cyclones killed the most people? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| people who are on a gluten-free diet can't eat what cereal grain that is used to make challah? | Food | Wheat | 3 | none | -39.48 | 1 |
| which cereal grain which can be utilized for making challah is unable to be consumed by those on a gluten-free diet? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| what cereal grain can be used to produce challah, and people on gluten free could not eat? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| what's the theme of the casino having the most rooms under the control of caesars entertainment corporation? | Casinos | Art Deco | 3 | super. | -34.11 | 1 |
| what type of theme is caesars entertainment corporation's largest casino made of? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| how is the largest casino owned by harrahs themed? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: More example questions and characteristics. Topic entities are bold-faced. Three sentence-level paraphrases are shown for each graph query, with entity level paraphrasing applied on the third. Questions are lowercased.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is too time consuming to use beam size $=200$ for training
    ${ }^{2}$ We also tried to use the top-10 retrieved topic entities for both training and testing, or use the gold topic entities for training and the top-10 retrieved topic entities for testing. The performance was slightly worse.

