

Lecture # 7

- Midterm next th.
- Final exam Dec. 16, 9-12
- Next homework due next Tuesday.

(1)

Remember from last time:

$$u \text{ solve } u_t = k u_{xx} \quad \text{on } R = [0, T] \times [0, l]$$

we want to prove ~~sup~~ $\max_R u = \max_{\partial \cup R} u$

We introduced

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) + \epsilon u^2$$

we were left to prove that if

$$M = \max_{\partial \cup R} u$$

then ~~(*)~~ $v(x, t) \leq M + \epsilon l^2$ for all (x, t) in R

Proof of this fact

$$\text{Observe that } v|_{x=l} = u|_{x=l} + \epsilon l^2 \leq M + \epsilon l^2$$

$$v|_{x=0} = u|_{x=0} \leq M$$

$$v|_{t=0} = u|_{t=0} + \epsilon x^2 \leq M + \epsilon l^2$$

so ~~we~~ $\max_{\partial \cup R} v(x, t) \leq M + \epsilon l^2$

Now we want to show that

②

$$\max_{\mathcal{D} \cup R} v = \max_R v$$

Assume ~~max~~ (x_0, t_0) is a point s.t. $\max_R v = v(x_0, t_0)$

if (x_0, t_0) is in $\mathcal{D} \cup R \Rightarrow$ done

So then we have two cases:

Case 1: (x_0, t_0) is in $\overset{\circ}{R}$ (interior of R)

Case 2: $t_0 = T$ and $0 < x_0 < \ell$

In the first case

$$u_x(x_0, t_0) = v_t(x_0, t_0) = 0$$

$$v_{xx}(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$$

$$v_t = u_t \quad v_x = u_x + 2\varepsilon x \quad v_{xx} = u_{xx} + 2\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{\forall} \quad u_t &= \kappa u_{xx} = \kappa (v_{xx} - 2\varepsilon) < 0 \\ \parallel \\ v_t & \\ \parallel \\ 0 & \end{aligned} \quad \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

In the second case:

(3)

$$f(x) = v(x, T) \quad 0 < x < l$$

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{v(x_0, T) - v(x_0 - \delta, T)}{\delta} = v_x(x_0, T) \geq c$$

and again for (*) we get a contradiction.

Uniqueness: Consider the boundary initial value problem

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - k u_{xx} = f(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \phi \\ u(0, t) = g(t) \\ u(l, t) = h(t) \end{cases}$$

Uniqueness of the solution for (*) means that for given ϕ, g, h there is only one solution for (*), ϕ, g, h determines it completely.

Suppose there were 2 solutions for (*), call them u_1 and u_2 . Then $w = u_1 - u_2$ solves

$$(*)' \quad \begin{cases} w_t - k w_{xx} = 0 \\ w(x, 0) = 0 \\ w(0, t) = 0 \\ w(l, t) = 0 \end{cases} \quad w \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow u_1 = u_2$$

$$\min_R w = \min_{D \cup R} w = 0$$

$$\max_R w = \max_{D \cup R} w = 0$$

By the max (min) principle

Energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l u(x,t)^2 dx \quad \text{Assume } u(l,t) = u(0,t) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} E = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l u_t \cdot 2u dx =$$

$$= \int_0^l u \cdot (u_t + k u_{xx}) dx$$

$$= + k \int_0^l u u_{xx} dx =$$

$$= + k \int_0^l \partial_x (u u_x) dx - k \int_0^l u_x^2 dx$$

$$= k u u_x \Big|_0^l = 0 - k \int_0^l u_x^2 dx \leq 0$$

so $E(t) \downarrow$.

We can also prove uniqueness by using this fact:

$$0 \leq E(t) \leq E(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l w^2(x,0) dx = 0$$

so $E(t) = 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_0^l [u(x,t)]^2 dx = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0!$$

Definition of Stability: In general we say that a system is stable if "close" initial data generate "close" solutions.

more for distances
 To mean "closeness" in need a ~~series~~ of functions (5)
 We now give an example of stability

Consider the diffusion equation $u_{tt} = k u_{xx}$
~~solutions~~ we look at ~~two~~ two solutions ~~and~~ u_1 and u_2
 $u_1(x, 0) = \phi_1$ and $u_2(x, 0) = \phi_2$.

Now suppose we define

$$\text{dist}(f, g) = \left(\int_0^l (f-g)^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

~~Then by the energy inequality~~ Observe that

$w = u_1 - u_2$ solves the equation
 and moreover $w|_{t=0} = \phi_1 - \phi_2$. Then by the energy
 inequality

$$(E(w))^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_0^l (u_1 - u_2)^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^l (\phi_1 - \phi_2)^2(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

So if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are "close" w.r.t. the distance
 above, then u_1 and u_2 are also "close"
 uniformly in time.

Now suppose we define the distance as

$$\text{dist}(f, g) = \max_{x_0, x_1} |f-g|$$

Assume that u_1 and u_2 solve the two problems

(6)

$$\begin{cases} u_t = k u_{xx} \\ u(x, 0) = \phi_1 \\ u(0, t) = g \\ u(l, t) = f \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} v_t = k v_{xx} \\ v(x, 0) = \phi_2 \\ v(0, t) = g \\ v(l, t) = f \end{cases}$$

Then $u_1 - u_2 = w$ solves
$$\begin{cases} w_t = k w_{xx} \\ w(x, 0) = \phi_1 - \phi_2 \\ w(0, t) = w(l, t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Then by the maximum principle

$$\max_R w = \max_{\partial U} w = \max_{[0, l]} (\max(\phi_1 - \phi_2, 0))$$

$$\max_R -w = \max_{\partial U} -w = \max_{[0, l]} (\max(\phi_2 - \phi_1, 0))$$

$$\text{so } \max_{[0, l]} |u_1 - u_2|(t) \leq \max_{[0, l]} |\phi_1 - \phi_2|$$

for all t .

Finding solutions for a diffusion eq

We here consider only the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = k u_{xx} \\ u|_{t=0} = \phi(x) \end{cases}$$

The idea here is to find a source function $S(x, t)$ s.t. any solution for (*) can be written in terms of S and the initial data like for the wave equation

For this process it is better to list ~~some~~ a-priori properties for the solution of ~~the~~ a diffusion equation
 (1) $u_t = k u_{xx}$

a) Translation invariance:

if $u(x, t)$ solves (1) then for any fixed y
 $u(x-y, t)$ also solves (1)

b) If u is a solution for (1) then all derivatives of any order of u also solves (1)

c) A linear combination of solutions for (1) is also a solution

d) If $w(x, t)$ is a solution then for any g "smooth" function

$$v(x, t) = \int w(x-y, t) g(y) dy$$

is also a solution for (1)

e) Scaling: if $u(x, t)$ is a solution then $u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ is also a solution.