

Lecture #18

We recall briefly that if

$$L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_a^b f^2(x) dx < \infty \}$$

then  $f, g$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx$$

if

$$L^2(\mathbb{C}) = \{ f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_a^b f(x)\bar{f}(x) dx < \infty \}$$

then for  $f, g$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{C})$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_a^b f(x)\bar{g}(x) dx$$

Definition: In both cases we say that

$f$  orthogonal to  $g$  iff  $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$

Question: How do we find orthogonal functions?

Consider the operator  $A = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  and let  $X_1(x)$  and

$X_2(x)$  two real valued eigenfunctions

$$-X_i'' = \lambda_i X_i \quad i=1, 2, \quad \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

The following identity is true

$$-X_1'' X_2 + X_1 X_2'' = (-X_1' X_2 + X_1 X_2')' \quad (2)$$

to check this one just writes explicitly the RHS by taking derivatives. Using (2) one gets

$$\int_a^b (-X_1'' X_2 + X_1 X_2'') dx = (-X_1' X_2 + X_1 X_2') \Big|_a^b$$

Green's Second identity

boundary conditions

Assum B.C. on such that RHS = 0, then

$$\text{LHS} = \int_a^b (l_1 X_1 X_2 + l_2 X_1 X_2') dx$$

$$= (l_1 - l_2) \int_a^b X_1 X_2 dx = (l_1 - l_2) (X_1, X_2)$$

orthogonality  $X_1 \perp X_2$

BC:

Case 1 Dirichlet:  $X_1(a) = X_1(b) = X_2(a) = X_2(b) = 0$

Case 2: Neumann:  $X_{1,x}(a) = X_{1,x}(b) = X_{2,x}(a) = X_{2,x}(b)$

Case 3) Periodic:  $X_i(a) = X_i(b)$   
 $X_{i,x}(a) = X_{i,x}(b)$

Case 4) Robin conditions  $\Rightarrow$  also zero

$$u_x(a) - a_0 u(a) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x'(a) = a_0 x(a)$$

$$u_x(b) + a_1 u(b) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad x'(b) = a_1 x(b) \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

$$X_1'(a) = a_0 X_1(a)$$

$$-X_2'(a) = \frac{X_2'(a)}{a_0} \quad X_1 X_2(b) = X_2' X_1(b)$$

So in all cases one has orthogonality.

(3)

Remark: The RHS is not always zero, one can come up with all sorts of examples, for instance:

$$x(a) = 2x(b) \quad \text{Then the condition becomes}$$

$$x'(a) = x'(b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -X_1(a)X_2(a) + X_1(a)X_2'(a) + X_1'(b)X_2(b) + X_2(b)X_2'(b) \\ &= -X_1'(a)X_2(a) + X_1(a)X_2'(a) + X_1'(a)\frac{1}{2}X_2(a) - \frac{1}{2}X_1(a)X_2'(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}X_1'(a)X_2(a) + \frac{1}{2}X_1(a)X_2'(a) \quad \text{which in general is not zero.}$$

To obtain orthogonality for eigenfunctions one needs:

Assume the pair of general boundary conditions

$$(GBC) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha_1 X(a) + \beta_1 X(b) + \gamma_1 X'(a) + \delta_1 X'(b) = 0 \\ \alpha_2 X(a) + \beta_2 X(b) + \gamma_2 X'(a) + \delta_2 X'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition: We say that (GBC) are symmetric if

for any two functions  $f, g$  with (GBC) one has

$$\left. f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x) \right|_a^b = 0$$

Remark 1: Dirichlet, Neumann, Periodic and Robin or 4  
symmetric boundary conditions.

Remark 2: a) All eigenfunctions with symmetric OBC  
that have different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

b) if a function  $f$  is written as a linear combination of eigenfunctions as ~~shown~~<sup>in e)</sup>, then the coefficients are completely determined.

To see this assume

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k a_n X_n(x)$$

where  $X_n(x)$  are eigenfunctions s.t.  $\lambda_n \neq \lambda_m$   $n \neq m$   
and symmetric BC.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(x), X_m(x) \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \langle X_n, X_m \rangle \\ &= a_m \langle X_m, X_m \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$a_m = \frac{\int_a^b f(x) X_m(x) dx}{\int_a^b X_m^2(x) dx}$$

We say that

$\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  is orthogonal if  $\langle X_n, X_m \rangle = 0$   
if  $m \neq n$

It is orthonormal if  $\langle X_m, X_m \rangle = 1$ .

## The complex case

(5)

Theorem: If we have a symmetric B.C., all the eigenvalues of  $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$  are real valued.

Theorem ~~and~~ the linear combination of the eigenfunctions ~~is~~ <sup>set of complex</sup> on the same as the set of complex linear combination of the real eigenfunctions.

Proof Assume  $\lambda$  is eigenvalue and  $X$  eigenfunction. Then  
 $-X'' = \lambda X$  and complex conjugate

$$-\overline{X}'' = \overline{\lambda} \overline{X}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\overline{X}'' = \overline{\lambda} \overline{X} \Rightarrow \overline{\lambda} \text{ is also eigenvalue}$$

As above ~~we have~~ we have

$$\int_a^b (-X'' \overline{X} + \overline{X}'' X) dx = (-X' \overline{X} + X \overline{X}') \Big|_a^b$$

if we have symmetric B.C.  $\Rightarrow$

$$(\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) \int_a^b X \overline{X} dx = 0$$

$$(\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) \int_a^b |X|^2 dx = 0$$

because  $\lambda \neq 0$  necessarily  $\lambda - \bar{\lambda} = 0$  (6)

$$\lambda - \bar{\lambda} = \cancel{\alpha + i\beta} - \cancel{\alpha - i\beta} = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \beta = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda$  is real!

You let  $X_n = Y_n + i Z_n$  be eigenfunctions

$$\sum_{n=1}^k C_n X_n = \text{for } C_n \text{ complex}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^k C_n Y_n + i C_n Z_n \quad \text{where } Z_n \text{ are real}$$

where  $Y_n$  and  $Z_n$  are real eigenfunctions since

$$-X_n'' = \lambda X_n \quad (\Rightarrow) \quad -Y_n'' - i Z_n'' = \lambda (Y_n + i Z_n)$$

because  $\lambda$  is real it follows

$$-Y_n'' = \lambda Y_n \quad \text{and} \quad -Z_n'' = \lambda Z_n!$$

Theorem 2: Assume symmetric OBC. Q.E.D.

Then  $\int_a^b f(x) f'(x) \Big|_{x=a}^{x=b} \leq 0$  for all real valued

functions satisfying OBC, then there is no negative eigenvalue.

Proof

We first show that for any  $g(x), f(x)$  in  $(a, b)$

$$\int_a^b f''(x)g(x)dx = -\int_a^b f'(x)g'(x)dx + \left. fg' \right|_a^b$$

Green's first identity (or integration by parts)

Now take  $g(x) = f(x) = X(x)$  eigenfunction

$$\int_a^b X''(x)X(x)dx = -\int_a^b X'(x)^2 dx + X'X \Big|_a^b$$

$$-\lambda \int_a^b X(x)^2 dx = -\int_a^b X'(x)^2 dx + X'X \Big|_a^b$$

If  $\lambda < 0$  then LHS  $> 0$  which is a contradiction! Q.E.D.

Remark: For which standard (BC) does (\*\*) hold?

a) Dirichlet:  $\int_a^b f''(x)f(x)dx - \int_a^b f'(x)^2 dx = 0$  ✓

b) Neumann:  $\int_a^b f''(x)f(x)dx - \int_a^b f'(x)^2 dx = 0$  ✓

c) Periodic Condition:  $f(b)f'(b) - f(a)f'(a)$  (8)  
 $= f(b)f'(b) - f(b)f'(b) = 0$

d) Robin condition:  $u_x(a,t) - d_a u(a,t) = 0$   
 $u_x(b,t) + d_b u(b,t) = 0$

Case  $d_a < 0, d_b > 0$      $d_a + d_b > 0$  } unknown here  
 $d_a + d_b < -d_a d_b l$      $l = (b-a)$  } there is a negative  
eigenvalue

So the condition (\*) must fail!

~~u(x,t) = \sum\_{n=1}^{\infty} A\_n \cos(\frac{n\pi x}{l}) e^{-\lambda\_n t}~~

~~u(x,t) = \sum\_{n=1}^{\infty} A\_n \cos(\frac{n\pi x}{l}) e^{-\lambda\_n t}~~

Exercise: Consider the operator

$$A = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \quad \text{with (BC)} \quad \begin{cases} x(0) = x(l) = 0 \\ x''(0) = x''(l) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Prove that there are no ~~positive~~ negative eigenvalues.  
By the ~~first~~ Green's identity

$$\int_a^b f''(x) g(x) dx = - \int_a^b f'(x) g'(x) dx + \left[ f'g \right]_a^b$$

~~Let~~ let  $X(x)$  be an eigenfunction and  $\lambda$  eigenvalue

$$f = X''(x) \quad g(x) = X(x)$$



$$\int_0^l x^{(4)}(x) x(x) dx = - \int_0^l x^{(3)}(x) x'(x) dx + x^{(3)}(x) x(x) \Big|_0^l$$

$$+ \lambda \int_0^l x(x)^2 dx = \underbrace{-x'' x'(x)}_{=0} \Big|_0^l + \int_0^l x'' x''(x) dx + \underbrace{x^{(3)}(x) x(x)}_{=0} \Big|_0^l$$

So  $\lambda > 0$  !

## Infinite Series of functions : ~~Summary~~ Summary

A series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges } (\Rightarrow)$$

the sequence of partial sums

$$\{S_n\} = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n a_n \right\} \text{ converges to a limit } S$$

and in that case  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n = S$ .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = S$  means that

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  s.t. for all  $n \geq N$

$$|S_n - S| < \varepsilon.$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \pm \infty$  we say that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges  
 (or does not exist)

or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$  does not exist

• If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = 0$

The converse is not true in general:

$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} = \infty$  diverges but  $a_n = \frac{1}{k} \rightarrow 0!$

• Given  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ , if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges, then

we say that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is absolutely convergent

a) if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  ~~is~~

a) Absolute convergence  $\Rightarrow$  convergence

~~is~~ in general

• If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges but  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  does not

then we say that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is conditionally convergent

Comparison test:

• If  $|a_n| \leq b_n$  for  $n \geq N_0$  and  $\sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$

then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  is absolutely convergent.

• If  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|$  diverges  $\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k$  diverges