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Definitions & Principles

• What is “Forensic Computer Investigation”?
  – Forensic means “pertaining to the law”
  – We have forensic anthropology, ballistics, genetics, chemistry, liquid splatter analysis, dentistry…

• Good general introduction: “Criminalistics”, by Richard Saferstein
Why Bother?

• Academic misconduct
• Policy/human resources issues
• Criminal incidents
• Civil incidents
• These same techniques are useful for general investigations on computers
  – The system crashed, why?
  – We were compromised, how?
Why Bother?

• Some questions to ask:
  – How did they break in?
  – What damage was done?
  – Who did it?
  – Who else did they hit?

• We do it in a “forensically sound way” to:
  – Meet legal requirements
  – Reduce liability
  – Preserve evidence
The Four Steps

• Good definition:
  – "Process of identifying, preserving, analyzing and presenting digital evidence in a manner that is legally acceptable in any legal proceedings (i.e. a court of law)."
  – Rodney McKennish: “1998 Donald Mackay Churchill Fellowship to Study Overseas Developments in Forensic Computing” (Australia)
The Four Steps

• Identify the evidence
  – Must identify the type of information that is available
  – Determine how to best retrieve it
  – Disk images, memory dumps, process listings, log files, network traffic logs, etc.
  – We might need to prioritize the evidence, based on what questions we are trying to answer or what we expect to find.
The Four Steps

• Preserve the evidence
  – With the least amount of change possible
  – You must be able to account for any changes
  – How can you show that what you have now is IDENTICAL to what you had way back then?
The Four Steps

• Analyze the evidence
  – Extract, process, interpret
  – Extract - evidence collection may produce binary 'gunk' that isn't human readable
  – Process - make it humanly readable
  – Interpret - requires a deeper understanding of how things fit together

• Your analysis should be repeatable
The Four Steps

• Present the evidence
  – To law enforcement, attorneys, in court, etc.
  – Acceptance will depend on
    • Manner of presentation (did you make it understandable, convincing?)
    • The qualifications of the presenter
    • The credibility of the processes used to preserve and analyze the evidence
    • Credibility enhanced if you can duplicate the process
  – This is especially important when presenting evidence in court
Investigation Workflow

• Collect and analyze evidence to form one or more chronological sequences of events that fit the evidence

• We can’t always be conclusive!
  – “The butler did it”
  – “Either the butler did it or he picked up the knife after the murder”

• It is a feedback loop
  – Analysis leads to more evidence which feeds analysis…
Five Points to Consider

• Admissibility
  – Conform to legal requirements ("rules of evidence")

• Authenticity
  – Relevant to the case at hand

• Completeness
  – Complete logs are better than extracts from the logs

• Reliability
  – Collected and handled appropriately

• Believability
  – Understandable and convincing
Legal Issues

• Best Evidence
• Hearsay
• The Frye and Daulbert Tests
• Chain of Custody
• Exculpatory Evidence
• Fruit of the Poisonous Tree
• Acting Under Color of Law
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Document the Scene

- Map the room(s)
- Take pictures
- Label everything
  - Permanent, or removable sticky notes (NOT post-it notes – they fall off)
  - Unique “tag” – 315-1-2 (room 315, computer 1, disk 2)
- Catalogue everything
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Collect Volatile Evidence

• “Volatile evidence” is evidence that will disappear soon, such as information about active network connections, or the current contents of volatile memory.
• Contrast this with the contents of a disk or tape.
Collect Volatile Evidence

• From Farmer & Venema – http://www.porcupine.org
  – Registers, peripheral memory, caches...
  – Memory (virtual, physical)
  – Network state
  – Running processes/services
  – Loaded kernel modules/dlls/drivers
  – Network shares
  – Mounted file systems
Collecting Volatile Evidence

• What you do on the system will affect the remaining evidence
  – Running ‘ps’ will overwrite parts of memory
  – Your shell may overwrite the history file
  – You may affect file access times
  – There’s always the risk of trojans! (e.g. gcore)
Collecting Volatile Evidence

• Rootkits
  – Everything you know about a system is given to you through the software you use (the applications, the libraries, the operating system)
  – A rootkit is software that subverts the system to hide processes, files, network connections and so on
  – These often contain back doors, which give the intruder easy return access
Collecting Volatile Evidence

• You need to use known, safe tools to examine a system
  – Statically linked
  – Or include your own libraries
  – Mount from floppy or CD, through net, or download through net

• This won’t help with kernel rootkits
Collecting Volatile Evidence

• Your toolkit might include:
  – sysinternal’s filemon, regmon, process explorer, tcpview, autoruns, rootkit-revealer, dumpevt, dumpreg...
  – f-secure’s blacklight
  – icesword
  – microsoft’s windows defender
Collecting Volatile Evidence

• If you are collecting volatiles
  – Download/mount your tools (net, floppy, cd, flash)
  – Copy memory, swap, /tmp, pagefile.sys...
  – Get info about network state (connections, promiscuous interfaces)
  – Get info about running processes
  – Write results to flash or across the network: never to the local hard drive
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Turning a computer off...

• When you examine a computer, should you:
  – Turn it off? Use the switch vs. battery/cord?
  – CTRL-ALT-DELETE, L1-A?
  – Reboot?
  – Unplug it from the net?
  – Filter it at the router?
  – Leave it running and examine it quickly?
Three Fingered Salute

• CTRL-ALT-Delete, L1-A (Suns), etc.
  – Can be caught, redirected to destruct routines
  – No real advantage to doing this (that I can think of; you might as well just power off).
Shutdown

• Shutdown/halt/sync would leave file systems clean
  – But these routines might be rigged for destruction

• Don’t reboot!
  – Worse than doing a shutdown!
  – Wiping /tmp on reboot (if it isn't a ram-disk)
  – Is it rigged to restart "bad stuff" (backdoors, destructive things) at reboot? Or later, through cron?
Unplug from the Network

• If you unplug from the network or filter it...
  – What about "dead man switches" that detect when they're off the net and wipe evidence?
  – Marcus Ranum wrote about this in the CSI Alert, September 1999, #198
Leave it Running

• Without unplugging from the network
  – Until you power it off

• This is probably safe in the short term
  – Risk increases with time, though
  – They might use it to do nasty business - liability?
  – They might wipe evidence, especially if they see you poking around
Power Off

• When you turn it off...
  – You lose volatile evidence: processes, network connections, mounted network file systems, contents of memory...
  – This is critical evidence in many cases: crackers increasingly store tools, logs on remotely mounted file systems
  – On the other hand, if you investigate on running system, you risk modifying the system (especially the disk)
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Imaging Disks

- Get partition, RAID, logical volume management configuration
- Make copies of the hard drives (or RAIDs, or partitions, or...)
- Calculate and compare hashes (MD5 and/or SHA-1)
- Document and witness the copy/verification!
- Reconstruct RAIDs, carve our logical volumes, etc.
Imaging Disks

• Common tools include:
  – Helix, Knoppix live CDs
  – SMART (Linux live CD) from ASR
  – Forensic ToolKit (FTK) from Access Data
  – EnCase from Guidance Software
  – FTK Imager
  – Raid Reconstructor from Runtime Software
  – Unix dd, md5sum
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We need to know:

• Where the evidence is
• What the evidence means
• How to put it together
Where the Evidence is

- home system
- phone system
- modem pool
- networks
- victim computers
- think about the components
- ask questions, get expert advice
What the Evidence Means

• This requires a deeper understanding
  – How evidence is created
  – Where it might be missing
  – Or wrong

• Get an expert, ask questions
What the Evidence means

• A romig login entry in a UNIX wtmp file means…
  – Someone used the romig account to login
  – Or inserted a fake entry
  – NOT necessarily that Steve Romig logged in

• A DHCP lease means…
  – A computer was assigned the lease
  – NOT that that computer was the one using that IP address during the lease time
Importance of knowing

• Where the logs might be wrong
  – Syslog, NetFlow exports are sent via UDP
  – Authentication logs from parallel authentication servers
  – NetFlow logs and asymmetric routes
  – Spoofed IP addresses
  – Writable logs (wtmp, utmp on old UNIX systems)
  – Logs modified by the cracker
Correlating Logs

• You can build stronger case if you can show multiple sources that are in agreement
• Relating log entries to each other
  – Matching log entries by value - e.g. IP address
  – Matching entries by time
Time Related Issues

• We often use timestamps to correlate entries from different logs on different systems

• Problems include:
  – time synchronization
  – time zone
  – event lag
  – chronological order of events
  – event bounding
Time Synchronization

• We can sometimes infer clock offset from the logs
  – shell history on computer A shows telnet B at T1, tcp wrapper on computer B shows telnet from A at T2
  – offset is (probably T2-T1)

• We can't always do this - not enough info, event lag, etc.
Time Zone

- You can't compare apples to oranges
- Send, request time zone for all logs
- I like GMT offsets
- Make sure you do the math right
Event Lag

• Event lag is the difference in time between related events in different types of logs
  – connect from computer A to computer B using telnet and login
  – NetFlow log shows telnet starting at 13:05:12
  – TCP wrapper on computer B shows telnet at 13:05:12
  – wtmp shows actual login at 13:05:58

• Lag can be very variable
Event Lag

• We can use session start time, duration to eliminate some sessions
  – looking for dialup sessions in phone trace that "match" a login session on the modem pool that started at 2:03:22 and lasted 00:10:05
  – sessions that start wayyyyy before or after 2:03:22 probably don't match
  – sessions that are short than 00:10:05 don't match
  – sessions too much longer than 00:10:05 probably don't match
Event Lag

- Session ending time can sometimes be used to match more accurately than starting time
  - hang-up modem, terminal server terminates login session for you - short lag
  - logout of UNIX, telnet session ends - short lag
Chronological Order of Events

• Some logs are created in chronological order by the ending time of the session
  – process accounting records on Unix
  – Cisco NetFlow logs
  – TACACS+ session summary entries
Chronological Order of Events

• This can be very confusing
  – look through flow log, see traffic from computer, but not telnet traffic to computer - might not appear until 30 minutes later in the log
  – look through process accounting logs, see sub-processes, but not shell process

• We often need to reorder by the starting time of the session
Process Accounting Log

ttyp1 romig  12:32:28  00:00:07  ls

ttyp1 romig  12:33:02  00:00:05  cat

ttyp1 romig  12:33:45  00:00:03  egrep

ttyp1 romig  12:33:45  00:00:04  awk

ttyp1 romig  12:33:45  00:00:04  sh

...

ttyp1 romig  12:30:12  00:10:02  sh
Event Bounding

• We can use start, end times of one session to "bound" portions of other logs to focus our search for useful information
  – for instance, modem pool auth log shows session from T1 to T2
  – probably not going to find flow logs for the corresponding IP address of interest outside of that session
  – this is obvious
Event Bounding

• It is not so obvious that we can't always do this
  – easy to leave processes running after your login session on Unix
  – then there's at, cron, procmail and so on
  – these will leave traces long after the modem pool session
Merging Logs

• Sometimes log entries are spread all over the place
  – multiple parallel authentication servers
  – multiple SMTP front ends
  – multiple routers with asymmetric routing

• Need to merge logs from multiple sources

• Sort into chronological order
Reliability

• Logs vary in reliability
• How are the logs protected?
  – Some wtmp, utmp are world writable
  – Shell history are writable by their owners
• Depends on the integrity of software that creates log entries
  – Crackers replace these with versions that don't log, or which log false entries - rootkit
Reliability

• Is subject to the security of transmission over the network
  – syslog, NetFlow both use UDP
  – subject to data loss
  – subject to possible spoofing

• Guard against problems by correlating from as many sources as possible
Reliability

• We will need to adjust theories to account for anomalies
  – see telnet session to computer, but there's no login session
  – this might indicate rootkit installation
  – doesn't call into question validity of the theory that someone broke into the system - supports it
IP Address and Host Name Problems

• IP addresses can be spoofed
  – need to recognize cases where this is likely/unlikely
  – common in flooding
  – uncommon in telnet

• Domain stealing, cache poisoning, etc
  – IP address is "better" than the name it resolves to
  – really want to log both
  – if you have to choose one, choose the imp address
Recognize What's Missing

• Sometimes the stuff that's missing is what's interesting
  – see long telnet in NetFlow to target
  – but there's no login session
  – raises suspicion that there's a rootkit
• We found a ... directory but it doesn't contain anything
  – might be empty
  – might be a rootkit
What’s Missing

• Flow logs shows traffic to TCP/31337
  – but you can't find a process listening on that port
  – there might be a rootkit
Overview of a Recent Case

• We imaged the physical disk drives
• We “carved” the disks into logical disks used for each RAID
• We reconstructed the RAID as a disk image
• We examined these under EnCase, which allows us to see the partition/volume structure and file system contents
Overview of a Recent Case

- We extracted file system timestamps, the Internet Explorer history, the Registry contents (with modification times), the IIS logs, all other logs named *.log, and the event logs
- We converted these to a common format
- We combined and sorted these chronologically, and then started our analysis
Overview of a Recent Case

• As we identified times when “interesting” activity took place, we would go back to the system image in EnCase and extract the contents of other files, like the malware that was installed.

• We analyzed the malware to try to determine what it was and what it was capable of, how it got installed, files created/read, registry changes, etc.
  – Norman sandbox, Virustotal and Sunbelt Sandbox are useful resources
Useful Tools

• We use Guidance Software’s EnCase, a commercial product (http://guidancesoftware.com)

• Sleuthkit & Autopsy - open source alternative (http://www.sleuthkit.org)

• Volatility Framework - open source tools for memory forensics (https://www.volatile systems.com/default/volatility)
More Useful Tools

• Microsoft’s Sysinternals tools - autoruns, rootkit revealer, process monitor/explorer, tcpview, regmon, filemon and etc (http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/default.aspx)