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ABSTRACT

Phase is important for perceptual quality in speech enhancement. However, it seems intractable to directly estimate phase spectrogram through supervised learning due to lack of clear structure in phase spectrogram. Complex spectral mapping aims to estimate the real and imaginary spectrograms of clean speech from those of noisy speech, which simultaneously enhances magnitude and phase responses of noisy speech. In this paper, we propose a new convolutional recurrent network (CRN) for complex spectral mapping, which leads to a causal system for noise- and speaker-independent speech enhancement. In terms of objective intelligibility and perceptual quality, the proposed CRN significantly outperforms an existing convolutional neural network (CNN) for complex spectral mapping, as well as a strong CRN for magnitude spectral mapping. We additionally incorporate a newly-developed group strategy to substantially reduce the number of trainable parameters and the computational cost without sacrificing performance.

Index Terms— complex spectral mapping, convolutional recurrent network, causal system, monaural speech enhancement

1. INTRODUCTION

Monaural speech enhancement is the task of separating target speech from background noise given a single microphone recording. It has been extensively studied in speech signal processing in the last decades. Inspired by the concept of time-frequency (T-F) masking in computational auditory scene analysis (CASA), speech enhancement has been formulated as a supervised learning problem in recent years [1]. For supervised speech enhancement, a proper selection of the training target is important for both learning and generalization [2]. Typically, training targets are defined on the T-F representations of speech signals, such as a spectrogram that is computed from a short-time Fourier transform (STFT). These training targets mainly fall into two groups. One group is masking-based targets like the ideal ratio mask (IRM) [3], which describe the time-frequency relationships between clean speech and background noise. Another is mapping-based targets such as log-power spectrum (LPS) [4], which correspond to the spectral representations of clean speech.

Most of these training targets operate on the magnitude spectrogram of noisy speech. In other words, typical speech enhancement systems enhance only the magnitude spectrogram and use the noisy phase spectrogram to reconstruct the time-domain waveform. The reason for not enhancing the phase spectrogram is two-fold.

First, no clear structure exists in the phase spectrogram, which makes it intractable to directly estimate the phase spectrogram of clean speech [5]. Second, it was believed that an enhanced phase spectrogram does not lead to a significant improvement in speech quality [6]. A more recent study [7], however, shows that considerable improvements in both objective and subjective speech quality can be achieved by accurate phase spectrum estimation. Based on this observation, various phase enhancement algorithms for speech separation have been developed [8] [9] [10]. However, these algorithms do not address the magnitude spectrum. Williamson et al. [5] found that both real and imaginary components of the clean speech spectrogram show clear structure and are thus amenable to supervised learning. In [5], they developed the complex ideal ratio mask (cIRM) and employed a deep neural network (DNN) to jointly estimate real and imaginary components. Unlike the algorithms in [8], [9] and [10], the cIRM can simultaneously enhance both the magnitude and phase spectra of noisy speech. Their experimental results show that the estimated complex ratio mask (cRM) yields better speech quality over IRM estimation while achieving slight or no improvements in objective intelligibility.

Subsequently, Fu et al. [11] proposed a CNN to estimate clean real and imaginary spectrograms from the noisy ones. The estimated real and imaginary spectrograms are then utilized to reconstruct the time-domain waveform. The experimental results show that the CNN leads to better objective intelligibility and perceptual quality over a DNN. Additionally, they trained a DNN to map from the noisy LPS features to the clean ones. They found that complex spectral mapping using a DNN yields a 2.4% improvement in short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) [12] and a 0.21 improvement in perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [13] over LPS spectral mapping using a DNN.

Motivated by our recent work [14] on CRNs, we propose a CRN architecture to perform complex spectral mapping for noise- and speaker-independent speech enhancement. In our proposed CRN, we incorporate a newly-developed technique to reduce the number of trainable parameters and the computational cost. Moreover, our enhancement system is causal, which is necessary for real-time speech enhancement in many real-world applications. In our experiments, we find that the proposed CRN substantially outperforms the CNN in [11] in terms of STOI and PESQ. In addition, the results show that complex spectral mapping using the CRN leads to significant STOI and PESQ improvements over magnitude spectral mapping using a CRN in [14]. We also find that complex spectral mapping consistently outperforms complex ratio masking and cRM-based signal approximation with the same CRN architecture.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We provide a detailed description of our proposed model in Section 2. The ex-
Experimental setup and results are presented in Section 3. Section 4 concludes this paper.

2. ALGORITHM DESCRIPTION

2.1. Training targets

2.1.1. Target magnitude spectrum

The target magnitude spectrum (TMS) of clean speech is a standard training target in mapping-based approaches [15] [16]. In this case, a mapping from the magnitude spectrogram of noisy speech to that of clean speech is learned. The estimated magnitude spectrum is then combined with the noisy phase spectrum to resynthesize the enhanced speech waveform.

2.1.2. Target complex spectrum

In our proposed method, we use the real and imaginary spectrograms of clean speech as the training target. This training target is referred to as the target complex spectrum (TCS). In contrast to the TMS, the TCS can perfectly reconstruct clean speech.

2.1.3. Complex ideal ratio mask

The complex ideal ratio mask is an ideal mask defined in the complex domain [5]:

\[
cIRM = \frac{Y_r S_r + Y_i S_i}{Y_r^2 + Y_i^2} + \frac{Y_r S_i - Y_i S_r}{Y_r^2 + Y_i^2}
\]

where \(Y_r\) and \(Y_i\) denote real and imaginary components of noisy speech spectrogram, respectively, and \(S_r\) and \(S_i\) real and imaginary components of clean speech spectrogram, respectively. The imaginary unit is represented by ‘\(i\)’.

2.1.4. Complex ratio mask based signal approximation

In typical signal approximation, a ratio mask estimator is trained to minimize the difference between the spectral magnitude of clean speech and that of estimated speech [17]. Analogously, we can train a complex ratio mask estimator to minimize the difference between the complex spectrum of clean speech and that of estimated speech:

\[
SA = |cRM \ast Y - S|^2
\]

where \(S\) and \(Y\) denote the spectrograms of clean speech and noisy speech, respectively, and ‘\(\ast\)’ denotes complex multiplication. The complex modulus is represented by ‘\(| \cdot |\)’. We call the resulting training target cRM-based signal approximation (cRM-SA).

2.2. Convolutional recurrent network

In [14], we have recently developed a convolutional recurrent network, which benefits from the feature extraction capability of CNNs and the temporal modeling capability of recurrent neural networks (RNNs), by combining the two topologies together. The CRN is essentially an encoder-decoder architecture. Specifically, the encoder comprises five convolutional layers, and the decoder five deconvolutional layers. Between the encoder and the decoder, two long short-term memory (LSTM) layers are inserted to model the temporal dependencies. Additionally, skip connections are utilized to concatenate the output of each encoder layer to the input of the corresponding decoder layer. In the CRN, all convolutions and deconvolutions are causal, so that the enhancement system does not use future information. Fig. 1 depicts the CRN in [14] for magnitude spectral mapping.

In this study, we extend this CRN architecture to perform complex spectral mapping. An overview of complex spectral mapping using a CRN is illustrated in Fig. 2. Note that the real and imaginary spectrograms of noisy speech are treated as two different input channels as in [11]. To investigate the extent of correlation between real spectrogram estimation and imaginary spectrogram estimation, we consider four candidate CRN architectures using different parameter sharing approaches. These architectures are illustrated in Fig. 3. In the first architecture (Fig. 3(a)), the encoder module, the LSTM module and the decoder module are shared for the estimation of real and imaginary components. The real and imaginary spectrograms of enhanced speech are treated as two different output channels in the last deconvolutional layer of the decoder. In the second architecture (Fig. 3(b)), the encoder module and the LSTM module are shared, while two distinct decoder modules are employed to estimate real and imaginary components, respectively. In the third architecture (Fig. 3(c)), only the encoder module is shared, and two LSTM modules and two decoder modules are used for the estimation of real and imaginary components, respectively. In the fourth architecture (Fig. 3(d)), two distinct CRNs are utilized to estimate the real and imaginary spectrograms of enhanced speech, respectively. Both CRNs take the real and imaginary spectrograms of noisy speech as input features. We denote the four CRN architectures as CRN-a, CRN-b, CRN-c and CRN-d, respectively.

The selection of the parameter sharing mechanism may be important for both learning and generalization. Note that the real spectrogram estimation and the imaginary spectrogram estimation can be considered as two distinct subtasks. On one hand, parameter sharing can achieve a regularization effect between the subtasks, which may lead to better generalization. Moreover, the learning may be encouraged by parameter sharing, particularly when two subtasks are highly correlated. On the other hand, excessive parameter sharing between the subtasks could discourage the learning, especially when the two subtasks are weakly correlated.

In our experiments, we find that CRN-b and CRN-c achieve better performance over CRN-a and CRN-d in both STOI and PESQ metrics, while CRN-b and CRN-c yield similar STOI and PESQ scores. Moreover, the different parameter sharing mechanisms in the four architectures amount to different model sizes: CRN-a < CRN-b < CRN-c < CRN-d. Therefore, we propose to use CRN-b due to
A grouped RNN without representation rearrangement layer between two consecutive recurrent layers is used to repermute the features and hidden states, so that the inter-group correlations are recovered (Fig. 4(c)). In order to achieve an efficient model, we adopt this group strategy for the two LSTM layers in our CRN architecture (i.e. CRN-b in Section 2.2).

### 2.3. Model capacity reduction via grouped LSTM

Model efficiency is important for many real-world applications. Gao et al. [18] have recently proposed a group strategy to improve the efficiency of recurrent layers while maintaining their performance. Fig. 4 depicts the group strategy developed in [18]. In a recurrent layer, both the input features and the hidden states are split into disjoint groups, and intra-group features are learned separately within each group, as shown in Fig 4(b). Obviously, the model complexity is substantially reduced by the grouping operation. The inter-group dependency, however, cannot be captured. In other words, an output only depends on the input in the corresponding feature group, which significantly degrades the representation power. To mitigate this problem, a parameter-free representation rearrangement layer is inserted in the recurrent layer to repermute the features and hidden states, so that the inter-group correlations are recovered (Fig. 4(c)). In order to achieve an efficient model, we adopt this group strategy for the two LSTM layers in our CRN architecture (i.e. CRN-b in Section 2.2).

### 2.4. Network architecture

In this study, we assume that all signals are sampled at 16 kHz. A 20-ms Hamming window is used to segment a signal into a set of time frames, where adjacent time frames are overlapped by 50%. We use 161-dimensional spectra that are calculated from a 320-point STFT.

A detailed description of our proposed network architecture is provided in Table 1. The input size and the output size of each layer are given in Table 1. We employ exponential linear units (ELUs) [19] in all convolutional and deconvolutional layers except the output layer. In the output layer, we use linear activation for spectrogram estimation. Moreover, batch normalization [20] is adopted right after each convolution (or deconvolution) layer.

### 3. EXPERIMENTS

#### 3.1. Experimental setup

In our experiments, we use the WSJ0 SI-84 training set [21] which includes 7138 utterances from 83 speakers (42 males and 41 females). We set aside six (3 males and 3 females) of these speakers as untrained speakers for test. In other words, we train the models with the 77 remaining speakers. For training, we use 10,000 noises from a sound effect library (available at https://www.sound-ideas.com), and the duration is about 126 hours. For test, we use two highly nonstationary noises (babble and cafeteria) from an Auditec CD (available at http://www.auditec.com).

Our training set includes 320,000 mixtures with a total duration.
of about 500 hours. To create a training mixture, we mix a randomly drawn training utterance with a random cut from the 10,000 training noises. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is randomly sampled from {-5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0} dB. Our test set comprises 150 mixtures created from 25 x 6 utterances of 6 untrained speakers. We use three SNRs for the test set, i.e., -5, 0 and 5 dB.

We train the models using the AMSGrad optimizer [22] with a learning rate of 0.001. The mean squared error (MSE) is used as the objective function. The minibatch size is set to 16 at the utterance level. Within a minibatch, all training samples are zero-padded to have the same number of time steps as the longest sample.

3.2. Experimental results

3.2.1. Comparisons of different parameter sharing mechanisms

We investigate the four candidate architectures discussed in Section 2.2, i.e., CRN-a, CRN-b, CRN-c and CRN-d. Table 2 lists STOI and PESQ scores yielded by the four architectures. Note that we do not use the group strategy in these architectures. We can observe that CRN-b and CRN-c consistently outperform CRN-a and CRN-d in all conditions. For example, a 1.41% STOI improvement and a 0.05 PESQ improvement is achieved by going from CRN-a to CRN-b at -5 dB SNR. Going from CRN-b to CRN-d degrades the performance in both metrics, which reveals the advantage of parameter sharing in a proper way.

3.2.2. Comparisons of different models and training targets

Table 3 presents comprehensive evaluations for different models and training targets on untrained noises and untrained speakers. The best results in each case are highlighted by boldface. We first compare our proposed CRN architecture with different group numbers using the TCS as the training target, as shown in the last four rows of Table 3. We can see that K = 2 and K = 4 produce similar results to K = 1 (i.e. no grouping). Further increasing the group number degrades the enhancement performance (e.g. K = 8). Moreover, our proposed CRN model significantly outperforms the CNN in [11].

Table 3. Comparisons of different models and training targets in STOI and PESQ metrics on untrained noises and untrained speakers. Note that K denotes the group number in the grouped LSTM layers. K = 1 means that grouping is not performed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>metrics</th>
<th>STOI (in %)</th>
<th>PESQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>-5 dB</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unprocessed</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>70.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTM + TMS</td>
<td>74.84</td>
<td>85.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + TMS [14]</td>
<td>76.28</td>
<td>86.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + cIRM (K=2)</td>
<td>74.83</td>
<td>86.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + cRM-SA (K=2)</td>
<td>77.73</td>
<td>88.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN + TCS [11]</td>
<td>66.42</td>
<td>80.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + TCS (K=4)</td>
<td>80.12</td>
<td>89.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + TCS (K=8)</td>
<td>80.14</td>
<td>89.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN + TMS (K=4)</td>
<td>78.63</td>
<td>89.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As Table 3 shows, our proposed CRN with the TCS achieves better performance than the same CRN with the cIRM and cRM-SA, as well as an LSTM and the CRN in [14] with the TMS. For example, the proposed CRN (K = 2) with the TCS yields a 2.41% STOI improvement and a 0.14 PESQ improvement compared with the cRM-SA. In our experiment, the LSTM model has the same architecture as [23], except that we do not use the feature window in order to achieve a causal system. Additionally, it should be noted that the cRM-SA leads to higher STOI and PESQ scores over the cIRM. Fig. 5(a) shows the numbers of trainable parameters in different models, and Fig. 5(b) the numbers of floating-point fused multiply-adds that are needed to process one time frame. We find that our proposed model achieves high efficiency in both metrics.

3.2.3. Evaluation of phase estimation via complex spectral mapping

An estimate of the TCS can provide a phase estimate simply by recovering the phase response from the estimated real and imaginary spectrograms. Now we quantify the effectiveness of the estimated phase by taking the magnitude spectrogram estimated by the CRN in [14] and reconstructing the waveform with three different phases: the noisy phase, the estimated phase and the clean phase. As shown in Table 4, our proposed approach produces an estimated phase that is better than the noisy phase.

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, we have proposed a new framework for complex spectral mapping using a convolutional recurrent network. The enhancement system is causal, and noise- and speaker-independent. In terms of STOI and PESQ, it significantly outperforms an existing CNN for complex spectral mapping, as well as a strong CRN for magnitude spectral mapping. Moreover, we incorporate a newly-developed group strategy to substantially elevate the model efficiency while maintaining the performance.
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