

# Type Checking

---

Chapter 6, Section 6.3, 6.5

# Inside the Compiler: **Front End**

- Lexical analyzer (aka scanner)
  - Converts ASCII or Unicode to a **stream of tokens**
- Syntax analyzer (aka parser)
  - Creates a **parse tree (or AST)** from the token stream
- Semantic analyzer
  - **Type checking and conversions**; other semantic checks
- Generator of intermediate code
  - Creates **lower-level intermediate representation (IR)**:  
e.g., three-address code

# Types in Compilers

- **Type checking**: at compile time, guarantee that the run-time behavior of the program will be correct
  - The type of the **operands** match the type of the **operator** (e.g., in Java `&&` requires boolean operands)
  - The types of actual parameters in a function call match the types of the formal parameters
  - Many other examples based on the **type system** of the language
- **Code generation**
  - **Allocation of memory** based on types (e.g., how many bytes do we need for a struct with an int and a float?)
  - Insert **explicit type conversions**

# Outline

- Useful machinery: attribute grammars
- Analysis of **declarations**
  - Representation of types
- **Type checking**
  - What is the type of an expression, given the types of its subexpressions? (synthesized attributes)
  - Is there a type error in the program?
- **Implicit type conversions**: not in the source code, but must be accounted for during type checking and code generation
  - E.g., **int** can be “silently promoted” to **double**

# Attribute Grammars

- Given a context-free grammar: for each non-terminal, define zero, one, or more **attributes**
  - Called “syntax-directed definitions” in the Dragon Book
- An **evaluation rule** for each production
- Example: value of an expression with constants only

$$E \rightarrow E_1 + T$$

|  $T$

$$E.val = E_1.val + T.val$$

$$E.val = T.val$$

$$T \rightarrow T_1 * F$$

|  $F$

$$T.val = T_1.val * F.val$$

$$T.val = F.val$$

$$F \rightarrow ( E )$$

| **const**

$$F.val = E.val$$

$$F.val = \mathbf{const.lexval}$$

- Attribute *val* for each  $E$ ,  $T$ , and  $F$  node
- Attribute *lexval* for each **const** code

# Attribute Grammars

- An attribute of a non-terminal  $X$  can be either **synthesized** or **inherited** (but not both)
  - **Synthesized attribute  $X.a$** : computed from attributes of  $X$ 's children (this is an oversimplification)
  - **Inherited attribute  $X.a$** : computed from attributes of  $X$ 's parent (this is an oversimplification)
- A ***lexval*** attribute for a terminal (i.e., leaf node)
  - Not computed by evaluation rules, but just provided by the lexical analyzer (e.g., ***lexval*** for each **const** code)

# Back to Types: Type Expressions

- What is a type and how do we represent it inside a compiler? We will use **type expressions** for this
- A **primitive type** is a type expression (e.g., *boolean*, *char*, *byte*, *integer*, *long*, *float*, *double*, *void*)
- An **array type constructor**, applied to
  - non-array type (for the array elements)
  - sequence of integers (for sizes of array dimensions) and a non-array type expression
  - E.g., *array(integer,10,20)* to represent the type of array **x** with declaration **int x[10][20];**
- In our projects:
  - Types.INT and Types.DOUBLE for primitive types
  - No representation for array types; you need to add it

# Type Expressions

- A **record type constructor**, applied to a list of pairs (field name, type expression), is a type expression
  - E.g., *record { x:float, y:float, rgb:array(byte,3) }* could be the type expression for a C struct with fields *x*, *y* for point coordinates and field *rgb* for RGB point color
- A **function type constructor**  $\rightarrow$ , applied to two type expressions, is a type expression
  - E.g., suppose we have a function that takes an array of 10 floats and returns their sum  
*array(float,10)  $\rightarrow$  float*



# Type Expressions

- A **tuple type constructor**  $\times$ , applied to a list of type expressions
  - E.g.,  $record \{ x:float, y:float, rgb:array(byte,3) \} \times float$   
→  $record \{ x:float, y:float, rgb:array(byte,3) \}$  is a function taking two parameters: a record and a float
- Type expressions can naturally be represented with trees or DAGs (details in Dragon Book)
- From the type expression, we can determine how many bytes will be needed in the generated code
  - Note: there may be **hardware alignment** constraints – e.g., each integer must start at an address divisible by 4; so, for type  $record \{ integer, boolean, integer \}$  **padding** may be needed between the second and the third field (unused 3 bytes)

# Declarations in Our Projects

$decl \rightarrow \mathbf{int\ id\ arrayDecl ;} \mid \mathbf{double\ id\ arrayDecl ;}$   
 $arrayDecl \rightarrow \mathbf{[ int\_const ]\ arrayDecl ;} \mid \epsilon$

AST representation:

class Decl with fields String **id**, int **type**, List<Integer> **dims**

Project 3: create a symbol table and use for type checking

- Create representation for array types
- After parsing, examine all declarations and populate the symbol table with each **id** and its type
- Semantic check: (as in C) re-declarations are not allowed
- Then, examine all expressions and check them

# Type Checking

- Look at expressions to see if declared types are consistent with variable usage
- Many checks of the form **if (type expression 1 == type expression 2) OK otherwise report type error**
- Checking: (1) types of subexpressions OK?  
(2) decide the type of the whole expression

## Example (subset of the language for the project)

$E \rightarrow \mathbf{id} \mid \mathbf{int\_const} \mid \mathbf{double\_const}$

$E \rightarrow \mathbf{id} [E_1]$  for simplicity, here we discuss only 1-dimensional arrays

$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2 \mid E_1 < E_2 \mid E_1 = E_2$

We will use a synthesized attribute  $E.type$

First version of checking: strict matching of types

Second version (for the project): allow type conversions, similarly to C

# Attribute Grammar for Strict Type Checking

$E \rightarrow \mathbf{id}$

- Error if the variable is not declared
- $E.type = getType(\mathbf{id.lexval})$  // get from symbol table

$E \rightarrow \mathbf{int\_const}$

- $E.type = int$

$E \rightarrow \mathbf{double\_const}$

- $E.type = double$

# Attribute Grammar for Strict Type Checking

$E \rightarrow \text{id} [ E_1 ]$

- Error if the variable is not declared
- If ( $\text{getType}(\text{id.lexval})$  is not  $\text{array}(X,Y)$ ) error
- If ( $E_1.type$  is not  $\text{int}$ ) error
- $E.type = X$

$E \rightarrow E_1 = E_2$

- If ( $E_1.type$  is not  $\text{int}$  or  $\text{double}$ ) error
- If ( $E_2.type$  is not  $\text{int}$  or  $\text{double}$ ) error
- If ( $E_1.type$  is not the same as  $E_2.type$ ) error
- $E.type = E_1.type$

Project 3: Also need to check that the left-hand-side of an assignment operator has an l-value: it can only be **id** or **id [E<sub>1</sub>]**

# Attribute Grammar for Strict Type Checking

$E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$

- If ( $E_1.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
- If ( $E_2.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
- If ( $E_1.type$  is not the same as  $E_2.type$ ) error
- $E.type = E_1.type$

$E \rightarrow E_1 < E_2$

- If ( $E_1.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
- If ( $E_2.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
- If ( $E_1.type$  is not the same as  $E_2.type$ ) error
- $E.type = int$

In C there are no boolean types; the result of  $<$  is an integer

# Implicit Type Conversions

- Values of one type are converted to another type
  - E.g. addition:  $3.0 + 4$  : silently converts 4 to 4.0
  - E.g. our earlier typechecking rules imply that operator  $+$  has types  $int \times int \rightarrow int$  and  $double \times double \rightarrow double$
  - But now we also allow  $double \times int \rightarrow double$  and  $int \times double \rightarrow double$
- In general, whenever the type of an expression is not appropriate
  - The compiler silently converts it to another type
  - Or, if not possible: compile-time error



## Example: Conversions in Java [no need to remember this]

- Widening: converting a value into a “larger” type; performed silently by the compiler
- Widening primitive conversions in Java
  - *byte* to *short*, *int*, *long*, *float*, or *double*
  - *short* to *int*, *long*, *float*, or *double*
  - *char* to *int*, *long*, *float*, or *double*
  - *int* to *long*, *float*, or *double*
  - *long* to *float* or *double*
  - *float* to *double*

## Some Examples: Conversions in Java

- **Assignment conversion**: when the value of an expression is assigned to a variable, convert the expr. value to the type of the variable
- **Call conversion**: applied to each argument of a call
  - The type of the argument expression is converted to the type of the corresponding formal parameter
- **Binary numeric conversion**: for **+**, **-**, **\***, etc.
  - If either operand is *double*, the other is converted to *double*
  - Otherwise, if either operand is *float*, the other is converted to *float*
  - Otherwise, if either operand is *long*, the other is converted to *long*
  - Otherwise, both are converted to *int*

# Back to Our Simplified Language

- Let us allow implicit widening conversions from `int` to `double`. What will be affected?
- For all binary operators: remove “If ( $E_1.type$  is not the same as  $E_2.type$ ) error”
- Old rule for  $E \rightarrow E_1 + E_2$ 
  - If ( $E_1.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
  - If ( $E_2.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
  - If ( $E_1.type$  is not the same as  $E_2.type$ ) error
  - $E.type = E_1.type$
- New rule
  - First two checks are the same
  - $E.type = E_1.type$ , if  $E_2.type$  is *integer*
  - $E.type = double$ , otherwise

# How About Assignments?

- New rule for  $E \rightarrow E_1 = E_2$  (assignment conversion, as in C: right-hand-side value will be converted to the type of the left-hand side expression, if possible)
  - If ( $E_1.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
  - If ( $E_2.type$  is not *int* or *double*) error
  - If ( $E_1.type$  is *int* and  $E_2.type$  is *double*) error
  - $E.type = E_1.type$

# Project 3

- Type checking based on this approach
- For each AST node representing an expression, remember its type
  - E.g., add a field in class Expr and set it to *E.type*
- In preparation for Project 4: for each binary expression, create a temporary variable of the corresponding type
  - E.g., for **a = b + c + d;** Project 4 will create code

```
_t1 = b + c;  
a = _t1 + d;
```

For this, we will need to determine the type of **\_t1**, which is the same as the type of expression **b + c**