Software Process

- For a single project
  - planning (time, resources, assignments)
  - tracking and measuring
- Across multiple projects
  - organizational planning (time, resources, etc.)
  - hiring, training, tool acquisition, etc.
  - process assessment and improvement
- For software engineering in general
  - helps organize the field
  - it is a topic of its own right (process models)

Elements of the Process

- Tasks: analyze requirements
- Work products: requirements specification
- Relationships: req. specs feed design
- Milestones: reviewed requirements
- People: Alice and Bob
- Methods: use OO analysis with UML
- Tools: Rational Rose

Generic View of Software Process

Definition phase

Development phase

Support phase

Definition Phase

- Tasks related to problem definition
  - What? - requirements, constraints, external environment, validation criteria, etc.
- Step 1: system engineering
  - Hardware, software, people, databases, operational procedures, etc.
- Step 2: analysis of the problem
  - requirements analysis and domain analysis
- Step 3: project planning
  - Resources (e.g. people), cost, schedule

Development Phase

- Tasks related to problem solution
  - How? - architecture, components, data, algorithms, programming, testing, etc.
- Step 1: software design (the blueprint)
  - Design models that describe structure, interactions, etc.
- Step 2: code generation
- Step 3: software testing
  - Goal: uncover as many errors as possible
Support Phase

- Tasks related to software change
  - Definition and development in the context of existing software
- Correction of defects
  - e.g. Y2K problem - many billions of dollars
- Adaptation to changes in the environment
  - New hardware, OS, business rules, etc.
- Enhancements (new features, etc.)
- Reengineering: for easier future changes

Real-World Example: Cobol

- Business programming language
  - Initial spec: 1960, last spec: 2002
  - Millions of lines of code in legacy applications
  - Dozens of books and training courses
    - "Cobol for the 21 century", 10th ed

Some Umbrella Activities

- Project management
  - Tracking and control of people, process, work products, schedule, cost, quality, risk, etc.
- Quality assurance: activities that ensure high quality of all work products
  - Formal technical reviews of requirement specifications, designs, source code
  - Software testing
- Configuration management
  - Controls the changes in work products: e.g., version control for source code (RCS, CVS)

Process Model

- A general pattern for a software process
  - Instantiated for each specific project
  - May have to be modified for the particular circumstances
    - Not a dogma – adjust as necessary
- The model defines tasks, work products, relationships, milestones, etc.
  - e.g. “the output of task X is input for task Y”

Observations

- Process models are idealizations
  - The real world is a very complex place
- They can be difficult to execute
- They can be viewed as interfering with “real work”
- Conformance can be faked
- Still, they are essential to avoid chaos

Code-and-Fix Process

- The first thing people tried in the 1950s
  - Beginning programmers often use it

1. Write program
2. Improve it (debug, add functionality, improve efficiency, …)
3. GOTO 1

- Works for small 1-person projects and for some CS course assignments
Problems with Code-and-Fix

- Poor match with user needs
- Bad overall structure
- No blueprint
- Poor reliability - no systematic testing
- Maintainability? What’s that?
- What happens when the programmer quits?

More Advanced Process

- Waterfall model
- Prototyping model
- Iterative & incremental model
- Dozens of others
  - Spiral model
  - Rapid Application Development (RAD) model
  - Formal methods model
  - ...

Examples of Process Models

Waterfall Model

- The "classic" process model since 1970s
- Also called "software life cycle"

Waterfall Phases

- Analysis: requirements (features, etc.) and relevant domain concepts
- Design: solution blueprint for the systems and for individual components
- Individual components: implementation and testing (unit testing)
- System integration
  - with integration/system testing
- Software support and maintenance
Key Points of the Model

- The project goes through the phases sequentially.
- Possible feedback and iteration across phases:
  - e.g. during coding, a design problem is identified.
- Typically, few/no iterations are used:
  - e.g., after a certain point of time, the design is "frozen".

Waterfall Assumptions

- Requirements are known from the start, before design.
- Requirements are stable.
- The design can be done abstractly and speculatively:
  - i.e. it is possible to correctly guess in advance how to make it work.
- Everything will fit together when we start the integration.

Pros and Cons

- Inflexible: the actual process is not so sequential:
  - Limited use of iteration, problems from earlier phases are hard to fix.
- Expects full requirements early.
- Working program is not available early:
  - High risk issues are not tackled early enough.
- Pros: widely used, systematic, good for projects with well-defined requirements:
  - Makes managers happy.

Prototyping Model

- Problem: customers have general objectives but no detailed requirements.
- Solution: build a prototype:
  - Analysis of known requirements, plus quick-and-dirty design and implementation.
- Iterations: customer evaluation followed by prototype refinements.
- Goal: understand the requirements:
  - The prototype is thrown away.

Pros and Cons

- Better understanding of requirements:
  - Good starting point for other process models (e.g. waterfall).
- Problem: the prototype may be used as a starting point rather than thrown away:
  - Bad idea: prototypes typically have poor design and quality.
- Bad decisions during prototyping may propagate to the real product.

Iterative & Incremental Model

- Waterfall: single release.
- Iterative: many releases (increments):
  - First increment: core functionality.
  - Successive increments: add/fix functionality.
  - Final increment: the complete product.
- Each iteration: a short mini-project with a separate lifecycle:
  - e.g., waterfall.
- Increments may be built sequentially or in parallel.
Iterative & Incremental Model

- Outcome of each iteration: tested, integrated, executable system
  - Iterations length is short and fixed
    - e.g. 2 weeks, 4 weeks, 6 weeks
  - Takes many iterations (e.g. 10-15)
  - Does not try to "freeze" the requirements and design speculatively
    - Rapid feedback, early insight, opportunity to modify requirements and design
    - Later iterations: reqs/design become stable

Iterative Model

feedback (demos, testing), insights, risk management

iteration n: a few weeks

iteration n+1: a few weeks

Iterative vs. Waterfall

- Waterfall: tries to fully specify and freeze requirements and design
  - Problem 1: the requirements may change in the future
  - Problem 2: early design decisions are speculative
  - Iterative: accepts requirements change as inevitable, and allows the design to evolve

Waterfall (Sequential) Model

Analysis → Design → Code

Test & Integrate
e.g. 1-2 years

Iterative & Incremental Model

- Risks are addressed in early iterations
  - e.g. server application with 10,000 concurrent users and <1s response: quickly build and evaluate components/architecture
  - Continuous feedback from users
    - To build what the users want
  - Early quality control: testing and reviews

Iterative vs. Waterfall

- Waterfall: inadequate risk management
  - Testing in the end, when problems are hard and expensive to fix
  - Iterative: identifies high-risk issues through rapid feedback
    - At the end of each iteration, learn from users, developers, testers
      - Users try the partial system and say "Well, the feature I wanted is actually ..."
    - Usability testing: e.g. the user interface
    - Load testing
Pros and Cons

- Early discovery of high risks
  - Technical, requirements, usability, etc.
- Early visible progress
  - Customers and managers are happy
- Managed complexity: avoids long and complex analysis/design steps
  - No “analysis paralysis”
  - Smaller increments are easier to manage

Pros and Cons

- Operational product is available early
  - Allows early feedback from users
    - Result: a system the users actually want
    - The market may force a limited version
- Accommodates changes
  - e.g. experience with earlier increments may be used to define/refine the requirements
  - Constant changes (“feature creep”) may erode system architecture

Final Notes

- Currently, iterative & incremental models are very popular: best practices
  - Solve many problems associated with the waterfall model
- More about one such model: Unified Process, defined by the same folks that created UML
  - Popular example of an iterative model

Overview

- The Unified Process (UP): an example of an iterative and incremental process
  - Very popular in the last few years
  - Incorporates modern principles for software development
  - Will provide a context for our discussion of analysis and design
- There are many other process models
  - The UP is a good sample process

Objectives

- Define the organization of the UP
- Discuss key practices of the UP

A Little History

- “The three amigos”: Grady Booch, Ivar Jacobson, James Rumbaugh
  - Separate methodologies for object-oriented analysis and design (OOAD) in the early 90s
  - Created the Unified Modeling Language (UML) in 1996
- 1999: defined the Unified Process (UP) in Rational Software Inc.
  - Refinement: Rational Unified Process (RUP)
  - Rational makes lots of money from the RUP
Organization of the UP

- **Inception**: preliminary investigation
  - Short initial phase (e.g. about a week)
  - Investigation of purpose, scope, and feasibility: should we even bother?
  - Order-of-magnitude unreliable estimates of cost and time
  - Definition of some requirements (10%)
  - Plan for the first iteration of elaboration
  - Possibly a proof-of-concept prototype

- **Elaboration**: analysis, design, some coding
  - Analysis
    - Most of requirements analysis
    - Most of domain analysis (domain modeling)
  - Most of the design
  - Some coding
    - Iterative implementation of the core architecture and high-risk requirements
  - Testing of all implemented code
  - More precise estimates of time/cost

- **Construction**: more coding and testing
  - Iterative implementation and testing of the remaining lower risk and easier aspects
  - Very little analysis or design
  - Preparation for deployment

- **Transition**: testing and deployment
  - Beta testing
  - Deployment

UP Disciplines

- **Discipline**: a set of activities and related artifacts in one subject area
- **Artifact** - any kind of work product: e.g. code, diagrams, models, documents, ...
- **We will consider three disciplines**
  - **Business modeling** - e.g. domain analysis
  - **Requirements** - requirements analysis
  - **Design**

Effort Distribution

*source: Rational software white paper, May 2002*
Iteration Length

- Iterations should be short
  - Typically 2-6 weeks
  - Goal: small steps, rapid feedback, adaptation
  - Exception: massive teams with lots of communication - but no more than 3-6 mo.
- Iterations should be timeboxed (fixed in length)
  - The system should be integrated, tested, and stabilized by the schedule date
  - If not possible: move tasks to the next iter.

Reasons for Timeboxing

- Work expands to fill the available time
  - With a deadline two weeks away, people focus and get moving
- Encourages prioritization and decisiveness
- Team satisfaction and confidence
  - Quick and repeating sense of completion, competency, and closure
  - Also, increased confidence for customers and managers

High-risk and High-value Issues

- In the early iterations, focus on:
  - Overall architecture
  - Components for risky requirements
  - Components with high value to the customer
- Better to fail early than late
  - The process is risk-driven

Other UP Practices

- Continuously engage the customer
- Early focus on the core architecture
  - Shallow implementation: overall structure, component interfaces and responsibilities, without “in-depth” implementation
- Verify quality often and early
  - Testing and reviews: critical for early feedback and to avoid expensive late defects
  - Unlike the waterfall model

Some Work Products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact</th>
<th>Incep</th>
<th>Elab</th>
<th>Const</th>
<th>Trans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use-Case Model</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplm. Spec</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain Model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Model</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implem. Model (code)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Requirements analysis: Use-Case Model + Supplementary Specification

Domain analysis: Domain Model

Design: Design Model

Coding: Implementation Model

Relationships Among Models