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Abstract—Contingency tables summarize the relations between categorical variables and arise in both scientific and business domains. Asymmetrically large two-way contingency tables pose a problem for common visualization methods. The Contingency Wheel has been recently proposed as an interactive visual method to explore and analyze such tables. However, the scalability and readability of this method are limited when dealing with large and dense tables. In this paper we present Contingency Wheel++, new visual analytics methods that overcome these major shortcomings: (1) regarding automated methods, a measure of association based on Pearson’s residuals alleviates the bias of the raw residuals originally used, (2) regarding visualization methods, a frequency-based abstraction of the visual elements eliminates overlapping and makes analyzing both positive and negative associations possible, and (3) regarding the interactive exploration environment, a multi-level overview+detail interface enables exploring individual data items that are aggregated in the visualization or in the table using coordinated views. We illustrate the applicability of these new methods with a use case and show how they enable discovering and analyzing nontrivial patterns and associations in large categorical data.

Index Terms—Large categorical data, contingency table analysis, information interfaces and representation, visual analytics.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many problems in scientific domains such as medicine, biology and pharmacology, as well as in business domains such as retail and logistics require analyzing associations between categorical variables. For example, a movie retailer might be interested in associations between movies and users based on sales data with the goal of optimizing marketing strategies. The discrete nature of categorical data and their lack of an inherent similarity measure pose significant challenges to the fields of information visualization [2] and data mining [42]. Contingency tables (also known as crosstabs) are a common way to summarize categorical data as a first step of analysis. A two-way contingency table is an \( n \times m \) matrix that records the frequency of observations \( f_{ij} \) for each combination of categories of two categorical variables. Many data analysis frameworks such as KNIME [4], WEKA [11] and R [28] offer possibilities to create and analyze contingency tables. One of the best-known statistical tests for the overall association (or independence) between two categorical variables is Pearson’s \( \chi^2 \) test [30]. It assesses the significance of associations between the categories of the two variables. However, it does not provide information about how single pairs of categories are associated.

Several visualization methods were developed to analyze associated categories in contingency tables. As we discuss in Sect. 5, these methods are designed to handle rather small tables having few categories. However, often much larger contingency tables need to be analyzed, which poses a problem to these methods. Figure 2a shows large categorical data from the MovieLens data set [10]. It contains about one million user ratings on movies. For each user, it provides his or her occupation, sex, and age group, and for each movie, its release date and genres. Examples for tables extracted from this data set are:

- A \( 3706 \times 21 \) table which counts for each movie, how many times it was rated from users of each occupation (figure 2b).
- A \( 6040 \times 17 \) table which counts for each user, how many times he/she rated movies from each genre (figure 6d).
The Contingency Wheel [1] has been introduced as an interactive visual method for exploring positive associations in asymmetrically large tables. The column categories are visualized as sectors of a ring chart and the table cells are depicted as dots in these sectors (figure 2c). The dot for cell \((i, j)\) is placed in sector \(i\) at a radial distance from the ring’s inner circle proportional to the strength of association \(r_{ij}\) between row \(i\) and column \(j\). A layout algorithm calculates the angular positions of the dots in each sector to reduce occlusion. It copes with a large number of rows by visualizing only the cells that represent significant associations \(r_{ij}\), determined by adjustable thresholds. An arc is drawn between two sectors if one or more rows have dots in both sectors. This arc is thicker if more such rows exist and if their dots represent higher associations with both sectors. User interaction enables analyzing different types of associations in large tables.

**Scalability** is one of the major challenges visual analytics aims to address [39]. The wheel metaphor explained above has several shortcomings which degrade its readability and scalability, especially with large and dense tables (Sect. 2). In this paper we propose Contingency Wheel++: new visual analytics methods that tackle the issues of the original wheel. Our methods (described in Sect. 3) address computational component, visual representation and interactive interface, and intertwine these three components to enable scalable analysis of categorical data. The new methods encompass:

- **Automated methods**: a new association measure results in a better distribution of the dots to sectors of different sizes. This is important when analyzing large tables that often exhibit high skewness in the distribution of their frequencies.
- **Visualization methods**: a frequency-based abstraction of the dots eliminates overlapping which allows showing all the cells, instead of just small subsets thereof. This enables analyzing and querying both positive and negative associations.
- **Interactive exploration environment**: an overview+detail interface allows exploring individual items aggregated in the visualization or in the table, and analyzing their attributes.

In Sect. 4 we present a use case to illustrate how our new methods can be used to explore the MovieLens data set. We show how nontrivial patterns and associations in the data can be discovered. In Sect. 5 we compare our approach with other methods for visualizing categorical data and elaborate on its scalability.

2. **LIMITATIONS OF THE CONTINGENCY WHEEL**

Based on a pilot evaluation study of the Contingency Wheel [23], we identified several issues that limit its readability and scalability. In particular, we focus on issues related to the conceptual design of the wheel and its interpretability rather than usability issues:

**Data mapping**: The Contingency Wheel visualizes association values \(r_{ij}\) that represent deviations from expected values (Sect. 3.1) rather than absolute frequencies \(f_{ij}\). Many users did not have sufficient background on statistical association measures to interpret that correctly.

**Visual mapping**: Users agreed that the visualization provides a quick overview of the distribution of dots within sectors as compared with a tabular view. However, they found it difficult to accurately interpret the meaning of these dots at the beginning. They expected absolute frequencies \(f_{ij}\) rather than association values. It was confusing that the dot size and its radial position convey the same information. The angular position of the dots was even more confusing since it bears no meaning. It was also confusing that dots in different sectors can represent the same entities. Though arcs are intended to clarify this fact, users realized it only after selecting a dot (which also highlights all dots in other sectors that represent the same row).

**Interaction**: Dots closer to the center were often too small and overlapping, which made them difficult to identify. The same issue applies to arcs between small sectors. Also, filtering the dots by moving a slider became clear only after the users understood the data representation. Some users forgot that parts of the dots were filtered out and drew wrong conclusions about the data.

Most of the above-mentioned readability issues are related to dots. Dots as representations of individual table cells suffer inherently from limited scalability: Only a few hundred dots can be shown at once (where \(s\) is the support threshold) [1]. However, filtering limits the ability to gain insights into the whole dataset and it does not work well for dense tables with large \(f_{ij}\) values.

Contingency Wheel++ improves both on the readability and on the scalability issues mentioned above by employing visual analytics methods as presented in the next section.

---

1 A prototype implementation of Contingency Wheel++ is available at http://www.cvast.tuwien.ac.at/ wheel
3 CONTINGENCY WHEEL++

In the following, $f_{ij}$ denotes the frequency in cell $(i, j)$, $f_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{ij}$ and $f_{+j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{ij}$ are the marginal row- and column frequencies, and $f_{++}$ is the sum of all table frequencies (Figure 2b). We first address the data mapping employed by Contingency Wheel++ (Sect. 3.1). Then we propose a frequency-based visual representation which abstracts the dots (Sect. 3.2). In Sect. 3.3 we show how an interactive visual interface integrates additional table views to bridge the gap between the data representation and the visual representations and to support a flexible visual exploration process.

3.1 Mapping Frequencies to Associations

The main goal of Contingency Wheel++ is to reveal how the row categories of a contingency table are associated with its column categories. For this purpose, it uses a statistical measure $r_{ij}$ that computes the association between row $i$ and column $j$ based on $f_{ij}$ and takes value in the range $[-1, 1]$. This measure is usually based on statistical residuals between the actual frequency $f_{ij}$ and expected frequencies $\hat{f}_{ij}$. The frequency in cell $(i, j)$ predicted under the null hypothesis $H_0$, i.e., assuming no association, is [37]:

$$\hat{f}_{ij} = \frac{f_+ \cdot f_{+j}}{f_{++}}$$

(1)

If $f_{ij} = \hat{f}_{ij}$ holds for cell $(i, j)$, its share $f_{ij}/f_{+j}$ of the marginal row frequency is equal to the column’s share $f_+/f_{++}$ of all table frequencies. This means that row $i$ is neither positively nor negatively associated with column $j$, and corresponds to a zero association value $r_{ij} = 0$. Cells with $f_{ij} > \hat{f}_{ij}$ indicate a positive association between row $i$ and column $j$. Statistical residuals $r_{ij}$ can be used to quantify this association. They can be designed to incorporate a priori information about the data and their distribution. In the following we describe the originally-used residuals and our improvements on them.

3.1.1 Raw residuals

The association measure used originally by the Contingency Wheel is based on raw residuals $(f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij})$ [1]. To generate association values $r_{ij} \leq 1$, the raw residual for cell $(i, j)$ is divided by the maximum value it can take $(f_{+j} - \hat{f}_{ij})$:

$$r_{\text{sc.raw}_{ij}} = \frac{f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij}}{f_{+j} - \hat{f}_{ij}}$$

(2)

This measure maps frequencies linearly to association values (figure 3a-left). The maximum association $r_{ij} = 1$ is reached when all cells of row $i$ have zero frequencies except for cell $(i, j)$. For such a row, only one dot is created on the outer boundary of sector $j$. A cell with $r_{ij} = 0$ creates a dot on the inner boundary of sector $j$ (assuming no thresholds). Cells with negative associations are ignored. The above-mentioned normalization is not uniform with respect to the columns: For row $i$, different scaling factors are used in different columns, because the expected frequency $\hat{f}_{ij}$ is larger for columns with larger $f_{+j}$. This makes better use of the sector area for revealing the distribution of dots along the radial dimension. Also, rows that are fully associated with column $j$ ($f_{ij} = f_{+j}$) can be easily found as dots at the outer boundary. However, the different scaling factors result in a bias especially when $f_{+j}$ varies largely between sectors. This impacts the comparison of associations between different sectors and reduces the expressivity of the arcs. A uniform scaling factor for all columns can be used instead:

$$r_{\text{uniform.raw}_{ij}} = \frac{f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij}}{f_{+j}}$$

(3)

Figure 3a-right shows how this scaling maps frequencies to associations. For cells with $f_{ij} = f_{+j}$, Eq. 3 evaluates to $1 - f_{+j}/f_{++}$ which is independent of $i$. Such cells are hence mapped to the same radial distance within a sector (figure 2c). The sectors are scaled by their marginal frequencies. Sectors with larger $f_{+j}$ values attract more dots than sectors with smaller $f_{+j}$ values, due to an inherent statistical bias that raw residuals suffer from (even with uniform scaling).

3.1.2 Adjusted residuals

Standardized Pearson residuals [37] avoid the bias of raw residuals by adjusting the variance of the $r_{ij}$ values to $N(0, 1)$:

$$r_{\text{pearson}_{ij}} = \frac{f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij}}{\sqrt{\hat{f}_{ij} \cdot (1 - f_{+j}/f_{++}) \cdot (1 - f_+/f_{++})}}$$

(4)

We use a logarithmic scale for the visual mapping of these residuals to better reveal their distribution along the radial dimension (where $\text{cte}$ is a constant computed from the table to ensure $-1 \leq r_{ij} \leq 1$):

$$r_{\text{adjusted}_{ij}} = \frac{\text{sgn}(r_{\text{pearson}_{ij}}) \cdot \ln \left(1 + \left|r_{\text{pearson}_{ij}}\right|\right)}{\text{cte}}$$

(5)
Figure 3b-right, shows how this measure maps frequencies to associations. Figure 3c shows the same data as in figure 2c using $r_{ij} = r_{\text{adjusted},ij}$ with $T_i = 30\%$ and with equal sectors. The dots are distributed more uniformly among the sectors. This results in arcs that suggest other similarities between occupations. The logarithmic scale amplifies smaller raw residuals, giving them more visual prominence. This potentially generates more dots, and hence a higher value for $T_i$ is needed to filter out insignificant associations. Cells with $f_{ij} = f_{i+}$ are mapped to different radial distances in sector $j$, depending on $f_{i+}$. This makes the arcs more robust to changes in $T_i$ since rows with smaller $f_{i+}$ values contribute less to the arcs. On the other hand, these cells are somewhat difficult to locate. The following nonuniform scaling stretches $r_{ij}$ to the range $[-1, 1]$:

$$r_{\text{adjusted},ij} = \frac{r_{\text{adjusted},ij}}{\max(s_{ij}, r_{\text{adjusted},ij})}$$

where $s_{ij} = \text{sgn}(r_{\text{adjusted},ij})$ and $r_{\text{adjusted},ij} = \text{value}$ is the value $r_{\text{adjusted},ij}$ would take if $s_{ij} = x$. Figure 3b-left depicts how this scaling maps frequencies. As can be seen, rows with $f_{ij} = f_{ij}$ are always mapped to the largest radial distance. Also, if the visualization can include negative associations, rows with $f_{ij} = 0$ are always mapped to the lowest radial distance. Nonuniform scaling, however, re-introduces a small bias in the associations, toward columns with larger $f_{i+}$.

### 3.2 Visualizing the Contingency Table

The visualization aims to reveal how the row categories of a contingency table are associated with its column categories, based on the association measure used. Our new visual representation makes use of the advantages of uniformly adjusted residuals (Sect. 3.1.2). It provides a clearer and more intuitive visualization of the table, as compared to the original wheel design [1]. Moreover, depending on the user’s choice, it enables showing all associations or positive associations only as we describe in the following subsections.

#### 3.2.1 Visualizing columns

Like in the original wheel metaphor, columns are drawn as sectors of a ring chart. The main difference is that they are drawn with equal size. This has several advantages: First, this is in accordance with the fact that adjusted residuals result in a more uniform distribution of the cells to the sectors. Second, by using a frequency-based representation (Sect. 3.2.2), the distribution of the associations can be compared between different sectors. Third, the arcs become evenly distributed in the central area, unlike the arcs in figure 2c which overlap more near small sectors. Finally, column categories are treated equally from a visual point of view, in the same way as the dimensions of a star plot [12]. This simplifies the visualization and eliminates confusion about the meaning of different sector sizes. The information of different column marginal frequencies $f_{i+}$ is conveyed in a linked bar chart (Sect. 3.3). Incorporating it in the wheel representation would not contribute to the goal of Contingency Wheel++, i.e., to explore associations.

#### 3.2.2 Visualizing row-column associations

The radial dimension of the ring chart linearly encodes the association values $r_{ij}$ computed by one of the association measures. The outer boundary corresponds to $r_{ij} = 1$. The inner boundary corresponds to $r_{ij} = -1$ if showing all associations, and to $r_{ij} = 0$ if showing positive associations only. Instead of the dot representation originally used, we suggest a frequency-based representation to visualize the row-column associations. A histogram $H_j$ is created in each sector $j$ to show the distribution of the associations $r_{ij}$ along the radial dimension. An adjustable number $b$ of equal bins is used for all histograms, initially determined by Scott’s normal reference rule [34]. Each bin $k$ in sector $j$ aggregates the rows $i$ having associations in the interval $I_k = [k, I_{k+1}]$. The interval boundaries $I_k$ are equally spaced between $[-1, 1]$:

$$I_k = \frac{(k - 1) - b}{b}$$

A closed interval $I_0 = [l_0, 1]$ is used for the last bin to account for $r_{ij} = 1$. Hence, the number of items $h_{kj}$ in the $k^{th}$ bin of sector $j$ is:

$$h_{kj} = \left| \left\{ 1 \leq i \leq n : f_{i+} \geq T_s \land r_{ij} \in I_k \right\} \right|$$

Each bin $k$ of histogram $H_j$ is visualized as a track in sector $j$. This track occupies the radial position which corresponds to its interval $I_k$. The length of this track is proportional to $h_{kj}$. A uniform or sector-specific scaling factor ensures that all tracks fit in their sectors. Tracks are centered in their sectors, following the Gestalt principle of symmetry [41]. This avoids artificial asymmetry along the angular dimension in the sectors and makes it easier to compare their histograms. Figure 4 shows both dot and histogram representations for some sectors of figure 3c. The histograms show how 3706 movies are associated with 2 occupations. Both positive and negative associations are included.

Rows whose associations with sector $j$ lie in a specific interval can be inspected individually along with the attributes of their entities, as explained in Sect. 3.3. The distribution of a numerical or categorical attribute of these entities can be shown by coloring the histograms instead of coloring individual dots. This provides a clearer understanding of the attribute distribution at different radial distances. Figure 5a shows the release-date distribution of movies positively associated with specific occupation categories. Figure 5b shows the genres of the movies. Movies highly associated with the “Retired” category tend to be old. The opposite holds for the “K-12 student” category which also tends to be highly associated with “Children” movies. Movies highly associated with “Technician/Engineer” are more likely to have “Sci-Fi / Fantasy” genres. These tendencies seem stronger as compared to the distribution of both attributes among all movies (figure 3c).

---

**Fig. 4.** Dot vs. histogram representation of row-column associations. The dimensions of a histogram bin are annotated (Eq. 8).

**Fig. 5.** Distributions of (a) a numerical attribute (release date) or, (b) a categorical attribute (genre) of the movies in the histograms. (c) The global distributions of release date and genre among all movies.
The frequency-based representation has several advantages over the dot representation: First, the angular dimension now has a clear meaning (frequency of associations at different radial distances in the sectors). Second, the artifacts and overlaps caused by showing separate dots are eliminated. Third, histograms are familiar visualizations that are easy to interpret. They better emphasize that the visualization is a unique feature of the Contingency Wheel and one of the main reasons of adopting a circular layout for the visualization. This layout provides a compact representation to show and compare column similarities. Furthermore, arcs are useful in creating a user-controlled hierarchical grouping of the column categories based on their similarities: A right-click on an arc merges the two affected sectors into one sector. The resulting wheel is built from the contingency table that results by merging the corresponding columns into one column, by summing up the frequencies cell-by-cell. The new sector is inserted at its appropriate position according to the sector ordering scheme currently in use (alphabetical, by size, or user-defined sector ordering). Successively merging pairs of sectors connected by thick arcs enables abstracting the visualization by reducing the number of visual items. Moreover, it enables analyzing similarities between groups of similar columns and not only between pairs of columns, as the use case shows (Sect. 4).

3.2.2 Visualizing column similarities

We compute similarities between the columns of the contingency table based on their row associations. A similarity value \( r_{ij} \) is computed for every pair of columns \((j_1, j_2)\), to assess how similar the two distributions \( r_{ij} \) and \( r_{ij} \) are. Only active rows in both sectors are included in the computation. Active rows in sector \( j \) have sufficient support \( f_{i+} \) and associations \( r_{ij} \) higher than \( T_r \), and are defined as follows:

\[
A_j = \{1 \leq i \leq n : r_{ij} \geq T_r \land f_{i+} \geq T_f\}
\]

Active rows in each sector are depicted in dark gray in the respective histogram (figure 6b). The column similarities are computed as follows:

\[
r_{ij} = \frac{1}{|A_{j_1}||A_{j_2}|} \sum_{i \in A_{j_1}} r_{ij_1} \cdot r_{ij_2} \]

Between each pair of sectors \((j_1, j_2)\), an arc is drawn whose thickness and opacity are determined by \( r_{ij} \). A thick arc means that the active rows in both sectors tend to have similar associations with the two columns \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \). Changing the \( T_r \) value results in smaller or larger active parts, and hence influences the thicknesses. By checking the arcs with different \( T_r \) values, the user can examine in which ranges to which extent the column similarities hold.

3.2.3 Visual aids

We provide several visual aids to facilitate understanding. Three association levels evenly spaced between the inner and the outer sector boundaries are shown to allow an easier interpretation of the radial distances. An additional circle in pink shows the current value of the association threshold \( T_r \), which can be adjusted using the slider embedded in the ring chart. Inactive parts of the histograms (Eq. 9) are visually de-emphasized. A color gradient is shown in the background of the \( T_r \) slider to reflect the association levels. It uses either a diverging or a sequential color scale [13], depending on whether negative associations are included or not. Arcs outside the ring chart indicate sector groups (figure 1). Finally, a legend shows the scale used in the histograms by depicting an arc of average length.
3.3 Interactive Exploration Environment

The original Contingency Wheel may result in a cluttered visualization especially for large data because it creates dots for individual row entities. These dots need to be selected individually to obtain details about the corresponding entities [1]. To improve on these shortcomings, our new methods follow Shneiderman’s visual information-seeking mantra [36]: The visualization first shows an overview of the data using histograms. The user can filter the data interactively and select entities she is interested in exploring. Then, details about these entities can be obtained in linked views. Contingency Wheel++ offers an overview visualization of an asymmetrically-sized contingency table. Likewise, the contingency table offers a summarization of a larger data set by cross-tabulating two categorical dimensions. We designed the user interface to enable exploring the data at these multiple levels of abstraction as explained in the following.

3.3.1 Multiple Views

Whenever we explain Contingency Wheel++ to new users, our first step is to show the underlying contingency table. This allows explaining the basic concepts like row- and column marginal frequencies, actual- and expected frequencies (Eq. 1), and row-column associations (Eq. 2-5). We are thus showing both the wheel visualization and the underlying table side-by-side in one interface. This combination bridges the gap between the visual representations and the data representation (i.e., association values) computed by the automatic methods. The main user interface (UI) of our prototype is divided into five coordinated views:

- **A bar chart** shows the column categories and their marginal frequencies $f_{i,j}$ (figure 6a). Columns selected in this view define the sectors of the wheel. The user can thus focus on selected columns. Also, if the number of columns exceeds the limits for the wheel, smaller subsets of columns can still be visualized.
- **The wheel view** is the central part of the interface (figure 6b). It provides an overview of the data and existing associations within. Several interactions are possible to find interesting patterns in the data and select specific row entities for further analysis. The association threshold $T_r$ can be adjusted interactively via the slider embedded in the ring chart. Also, this view enables setting several parameters by means of its toolbar and context menu.
- **A list view** shows details about the row entities selected in the wheel view (figure 6c and figure 7d). Beside the attributes of these entities (available from the data set), their marginal row frequencies $f_{i}+$ and associations $r_{ij}$ with a specific column $j$ are listed. The entities can be sorted according to one of the columns, and histograms or bar charts can be created for a specific column in the list.
- **A tabular view** shows the contingency table and the marginal frequencies (figure 6d). By hovering the mouse pointer over a cell $(i, j)$, a tooltip shows the expected frequency $\hat{e}_{ij}$ and the association value $r_{ij}$ according to the measure used. If cell coloring is enabled via a checkbox, the cell is shown in dark gray if it corresponds to an active part in the visualization (i.e., $i \in A_i$). Also, the support threshold $T_s$ can be adjusted via a slider to filter out entire rows $i$ with $f_{i}+ < T_s$.
- **A second list view** shows details about selected items from the tabular view (figure 6e). By double-clicking on a cell, a row, or a column in the tabular view, cross-tabulated data items are shown in this list view along with their attributes. The items can be sorted and the distributions of the values in a specific column can be explored using a histogram or a bar chart.

These views make it easier to explain to new users how the data are visualized in Contingency Wheel++. Even more importantly, they constitute a multi-level overview-detail exploration interface. This allows experienced users to perform elaborate analysis workflows by having quick access to all information available in the data. Hence, associations can be detected and investigated further in relation to other attributes. The incorporation of analytical methods in the visual interface enables a visual analytics process following Keim’s mantra [20]: Analyze first – show the important – zoom, filter and analyze further – details on demand. After computing the row-column associations (Sect. 3.1) and the columns similarities (Sect. 3.2.3), the visualization shows the important results, i.e., strong associations or high similarities. Using different interactions, the user can change the thresholds $T_r$ and $T_s$, merge columns, or set a different association measure. This causes the analytical methods to recompute the associations and similarities which are then visualized interactively. Details on selected items in the wheel or in the tabular view can be obtained on demand.

3.3.2 Linking and Brushing

Contingency Wheel++ offers multiple ways to brush the visualized row categories. One way is by clicking on a bar in the histograms, which selects the rows it aggregates (Sect. 3.2.2). Another way is using the sector marquee tool to define a radial interval $I$ in a sector $j$ using the mouse (figure 1). This selects the rows $i$ with $r_{ij} \in I$. Clicking on a sector $j$ selects the rows $A_j$ that are currently active (Sect. 3.2.3). Also, clicking on an arc selects rows active in both sectors it connects (the items that define this arc). Rows $i$ with $r_{ij} \leq T_r$ for all columns $j$ can be selected using a menu command. When $T_r$ is positive but small, this command selects rows that do not exhibit a high association with any column. Finally, rows can be selected using an external query, like the instant search box at the top of the view. This box selects row categories containing a specific text.

The top-right list view (figure 7d) shows the selected rows defined either by filtering, brushing, selection, or the search box query. When an item in this list is clicked, the tabular view scrolls to and highlights the corresponding row $i$ which shows the frequencies $f_{i,j}$ (figure 10e). Also, a star graph [12] of the associations $r_{ij}$ can be shown in the wheel view, labeled with these frequencies. Selected row categories are highlighted in the histograms of all sectors. The original histograms become desaturated and new sub-histograms are drawn centered on top of them showing the selected portion using color (figure 7c). Likewise, the original arcs are desaturated and the parts corresponding to the selected items are highlighted. Three modes are offered for performing brushing operations in the wheel, depending on keyboard modifiers:

- **Set union**: the new selection is added to an existing selection.
- **Set intersection**: the new selection is intersected with the existing selection. This enables creating nested queries on the data. For example, in the wheel showing the movies-occupation table, the user can select movies highly associated with the categories “programmer” and “scientist” but negatively associated with the category “executive”. This is done by drawing ranges at the corresponding radial distances in each sector while the CTRL key is pressed. TimeSearcher uses a similar brushing technique for time-series data by means of timebox widgets [16].
- **If no modifier is defined or if brushing is performed using an external query**, the active selection is replaced by the new one.

4 Use Case

To demonstrate the applicability of our approach, we present a use case along the fictitious character Jane, who is an analyst at a large movie rental service. The use case is based on 10 analysis sessions conducted over the course of a week and added up to a total of 8 hours time. For the analysis, the MovieLens data set [10] has been used as introduced in Sect. 1. Jane’s goal is to get insights into the massive amount of data she has collected about their customers who rented, watched, and rated movies using their service. Based on the insights gained from this analysis she plans to make decisions and take actions related to the ongoing marketing strategies and recommender algorithms they have in place. Jane uses mainly two different tables for her analysis: first, occupations (movies × user occupations) and second, genres (users × movie genres). By exploring the interactive wheels and the associated views and diagrams, Jane gains a number of insights, some of which were expected but also some surprising ones. Before Jane starts the analysis, she asks herself about the semantics of the data – what do associations between the entities user and movie actually mean? A user and a movie are associated if a user has entered a rating for a movie in the system which in turn implies that he or she has watched a movie in the system which in turn implies that he or she has watched the movie. However, an association does not express how much they liked a movie.
4.1 Categories & Characteristics

As a first step, Jane aims for getting an overview of the categories to get a feeling for the data and overall (dis)similarities, to explore characteristics of single categories as well as to possibly simplify the data by merging categories.

User occupations

Jane starts her analysis by creating a wheel based on a contingency table that displays the different occupations (i.e., jobs) of the users as sectors and the related movies as histogram bars within these sectors (figure 7). After opening the initial wheel, she adjusts the association slider to >40% in order to focus on higher associations and similarities between sectors. By studying the thickness of the connections inside the wheel she notices that there is a lot of overlap (same movies rated) between “K-12 student” and “college/grad student” (figure 7a) as well as between “programmer” and “technician/engineer” (figure 7b) which seems plausible to her. However, a more surprising aspect is that there is also a higher degree of overlap between “academic/educator” and “retired” (figure 7c). To investigate this connection in more detail, Jane selects the arc (figure 7c) and takes a look at the selected entities in the list view in the upper right of the UI (figure 7d). She sorts the movies by release date by clicking on the respective table header and discovers that they seem to be mostly older movies. Only three out of 52 movies are from the 90’s, the rest are older movies. Based on the mentioned similarities, she merges “K-12 student” with “college/grad student”, “programmer” with “technician/engineer”, and “academic/educator” with “retired” by right-clicking on the corresponding arcs in order to simplify the further analysis.

Then, Jane continues her exploration of release dates of movies highly associated to the group [academic/educator, retired]. For this, she brings up a histogram using the context menu of the release-date column-header (figure 7e). This provides details about the distribution of movies over time. For comparison, she also brings up release-date histograms for [college/grad student, K-12 student] (figure 7f), as well as for all movies that were rated (figure 7g). This confirms that the distribution concerning [academic/educator, retired] is quite different. Moreover, Jane finds out that there seems to be a peak of watched and rated movies in the mid 80s followed by a valley at the beginning of the 90s and another peak at the end of the 90s. To get a further overview of release dates of categories, she colors the wheel by release date which clearly shows that [academic/educator, retired] more often watch older movies than others (larger portion of dark parts than average, figure 7h). [K-12 student, college/grad student] watch more often newer movies than others (larger portion of bright parts than average, figure 7i). Jane concludes her exploration by coloring the occupation wheel by genre. This reveals that [programmer, technician/engineer] contain a much larger portion of highly associated “SciFi/Fantasy” movies (orange, figure 7j) and [college/grad student, K-12 student] have a much larger portion of highly associated “Children” and “Comedy” movies (blue and yellow, figure 7k) than on average.

Movie genres

Jane switches to the genre wheel and finds high overlaps of “Musical” and “Children”, “Action” and “Adventure”, and “War” and “Western” which seem to be reasonable to her. More surprisingly, she finds no particularly high overlap between [War, Western] and “Crime” which she would have suspected. Besides, she observes that “Horror” seems inversely related to many other genres. Based on her observations she merges genres into [Children, Musical, and Fantasy], [Noir, Mystery, Thriller], [Adventure, Action, SciFi], and [War, Western] (figure 8a-b). Jane colors the wheel by age using a diverging color scheme (figure 8a). Looking at this, she finds it surprising that the age distribution of users watching [Musical, Children, Fantasy] is not very different from others. Overall, age-group distributions seem to be quite similar in all genres.

Further, Jane wants to inspect possible gender differences and turns on coloring by gender in the genre wheel (figure 8b). As a general observation, she recognizes that there are a lot more men than women rating movies. As anticipated, Jane finds the genre “Romance” as an exception where the most highly associated users are female. Surprisingly “Horror” does not show less women than other genres such as “Documentary”, “Adventure, Action, SciFi”, [Noir, Mystery, Thriller], or “Crime”. After that, Jane takes a closer look on different genres using histograms of gender, age, and occupations (figure 8c). For “Children” movies she notices that there is an almost equal distribution between male and female viewers, and that most viewers are in the age group of 18–24 years. Particularly, the last fact is somewhat surprising to Jane, since she thought that the majority of users watching “Children” films would be younger. Having a look at “War” movies she spots that there are by far more men present which are often executives and in the age group of 35–44 years. “Western” movies show a quite similar picture, except that even older age groups watch and rate these movies.
4.2 Single Movies

After her top-down exploration of occupations and genre categories, Jane has a couple of movies in mind she wants to inspect further for potentially interesting findings in a bottom-up manner.

Mainstream erotic films She takes a look at the two movies Basic Instinct (1992) and Nine 1/2 Weeks (1986) and compares the star plots in the wheel views. Interestingly, both movies are highly associated to “technician/engineer” but negatively associated to “programmer” (figure 9a-d) which are otherwise quite similar as she had found out earlier.

The Godfather trilogy Next, Jane remembers that The Godfather movies (1972, 1974, 1990) were quite big hits at her movie rental service in the last years. She uses the search box (figure 10a) to find them. The movies matching the query are shown in the detail list in the upper right of the UI. She selects the first movie of the trilogy in the list (figure 10b) which brings up a star plot in the center of the wheel view showing individual associations for the different occupations. She can see that the movie has the highest associations with the occupations “executive” and “lawyer” (figure 10c,d). When she selects the second movie, the picture is quite similar, however, the third movie is somewhat different. Jane sees that it is highly associated to “executive” again but that it is negatively associated to “lawyer” and highly associated to “sales/marketing”. Further, Jane would like to inspect how the three movies were rated among executives. For this, she double clicks on the “executive” column in the table (figure 10e) which brings up the ratings in the list view on the lower left of the UI (figure 10f). Via a context menu, she displays the rating histograms (figure 10g-i) and spots that they are quite positive and similar for the first two but much lower for the third movie.

4.3 Hypotheses and Specific Questions

During the visual exploration, Jane generated some hypotheses and specific questions she is trying to answer subsequently.

Association vs. rating behavior One hypothesis Jane had in mind is to check whether it is true that very high associations of movies correspond to more positive ratings. Using the occupation wheel, she is probing rating histograms of highly associated movies (>75%) with “college/grad student”, such as Transformers (1986, good ratings) and Teenage Mutant Turtles II (1991, bad ratings). As a result, she finds evidence that her hypothesis does not hold.

Rating Another question Jane wants to inspect is whether there are differences in the general rating behavior of different user occupations, i.e., are particular groups more or less critical than others in general? By using the occupation wheel and comparing the grading histograms of selected sectors, Jane observes that the rating behavior is strikingly similar among groups. She can only spot subtle differences such as that unemployed persons tend to give lower ratings whereas retired persons do not tend to give many low ratings.

4.4 Decisions and Actions Planned

Visually exploring the vast data collection of her movie rental service helped Jane to better understand her customers and unearth commonalities as well as differences between groups of users and movies. Based on the gained insights, decisions are taken and actions are planned that are intended to make her business more successful: The merging of some user categories and movie genres can simplify the internal recommender engine. New SciFi & Fantasy releases will be presented particularly to programmers and technicians/engineers. As there were more movies watched and rated from the mid 1980s, there will be a campaign highlighting some of these. Suggestions concerning Children movies will no longer be focused on younger customers but concentrate on the age group of 18–24 years. War and Western movies will be recommended more intensively to male executives older than 35 years. Finally, Horror movies will be suggested to users who already watched those without restricting suggestions to men.

4.5 Improvements of Contingency Wheel++

Jane benefited from the improvements in the new design and gained insights that would not have been possible using the original Contingency Wheel. Due to the fact that dots have been replaced by histograms, she was able to represent all movies without filtering steps which would have been necessary to avoid overlaps. The distribution of an attribute of the movies (e.g., release date) can now be inspected using colored histograms which allowed for complex insights involving multiple data attributes. Because of the multi-level overview+detail exploration environment, Jane had easy on-demand access to all available data, such as movie details, contingency table, and raw data. This allowed for drilling down to clarify and check findings from an aggregate level. Further, she was able to create bar charts and histograms of selected elements from different attributes, such as movie release dates or ratings, and compare the results with the global distributions. On top of that, the ability to merge sectors enabled the detection of patterns between groups of sectors that could not be detected when looking at single columns.
5 State of the Art

Heat maps can be used as a generic method for visualizing large matrix data as a colored image [5]. While they can provide an overview of the data distribution, they are limited in terms of exploring associations. Methods dedicated for visualizing contingency tables are usually designed to handle a small number of categories. Based on what the visual representations depict, they can be classified into three types:

Frequency representations These methods map the table frequencies $f_{ij}$ to visual elements of proportional size. Mosaic Displays [14] and their variations use tiles to represent the frequencies (similar to Treemaps [35]). Parallel Sets [2] and their variations, such as Circos [25], represent frequencies as stripes or ribbons between visual elements that depict the categories. These approaches offer an intuitive visual representation that can be divided further to accommodate additional dimensions. However, they can handle only a relatively small number of categories ($\leq 30$ for Parallel Sets). With larger tables, the clutter increases in Parallel Sets, and the increased skewness and number of zeros in the table values make it difficult to identify and compare the tiles in Mosaic Displays [40].

Deviations representations Association Plots [26] use bar charts to show the deviations between the actual frequencies $f_{ij}$ and the expected frequencies $\hat{e}_{ij}$ (Eq. 1). Sieve Diagrams [8] plot $f_{ij}$ as sieves in Mosaic Displays of $\hat{e}_{ij}$ to show how both deviate from each other. Sieves with smaller holes represent higher associations. A recent approach was proposed for exploring proportions in multivariate categorical data [27]. It adopts the layout of Parallel Sets, but depicts the proportionality of relationships between the categories instead of $f_{ij}$.

Intermediate representations Correspondence Analysis [3] (CA) projects the categories to points in a 2D space, spanned by the two most contributing factors of the $\chi^2$ statistic, in a way similar to Principal Component Analysis [19]. A higher association between categories of the same class positions their points closer together, in a way similar to multidimensional scaling [24]. The approach can also accommodate additional categorical dimensions [9]. However, with a growing number of categories, the plot becomes more difficult to read. It lacks an intuitive structure as its axes bear no interpretable semantics. Johansson et al. [18] and Rosario et al. [33] proposed methods for quantifying categorical data based on CA. The quantified data can then be visualized using scatter plots or parallel coordinates. However, the latent numerical variables used for the quantification are not always easy to interpret.

The dot-based Contingency Wheel uses deviation representations for the cells as dots along the radial dimension. Like many approaches for dealing with large data [6, 29, 38] it uses data reduction to handle tables having a large number of rows. Also, it employs alpha blending to reveal overlapping, as done by other approaches for dealing with similar issues [7, 17, 22]. In contrast, Contingency Wheel++ employs a frequency-based approach to abstract large data, as used by many other techniques [15, 21, 32]. Also, it makes use of interactive visual analytics techniques to enable the exploration of individual data items. As the use case illustrates, asymmetrically-sized tables with a small number of columns ($\leq 30$) and thousands of rows can be handled efficiently by Contingency Wheel++ without filtering the data.

6 Conclusion

Contingency Wheel++ employs novel visual analytics methods that address the major shortcomings of the original dot-based wheel for visualizing and discovering patterns in large categorical data. It improves on the computational component by introducing an association measure based on Pearson’s residuals to alleviate the bias in the association measure originally used. It eliminates the scalability and readability limitations caused by overlapping dots, by using a frequency-based abstraction that shows distributions rather than individual entities. Finally, it offers a multi-level overview-detail interface to explore individual entities that are aggregated in the visualization or in the tabular representation with their attributes. The use case demonstrates how these methods can be used to find nontrivial patterns in large categorical data, and how further attributes can be analyzed in separate views or by coloring the histograms in the wheel visualization.

Future work aims to conduct comparative user studies to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of Contingency Wheel++, and to apply it to different real-world domains. Also, we are exploring further measures of associations and column similarities. Finally, we are investigating the applicability of our approach to other problems having similar data structures, such as point-set memberships or the class probabilities computed by a fuzzy classifier for a large number of samples.

Acknowledgement We thank Peter Filzmoser for statistical help, Margit Pohl, Simone Krigstein, and Florian Scholz for insightful ideas and evaluation, Georg Beischlager and Josef Tiefenbacher for implementation help, and Hannes Obweger and Martin Sunttinger from UC4 Software for reviews. This work was supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Economy, Family and Youth via CVAST, a Laura Bassi Centre of Excellence (No. 822746), and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the ViMaL project (No. P21695).
REFERENCES


