

CSE 5351 Homework 5

Due: Tuesday, March 6 by class time

1. In basic CBC-MAC, t_0 is fixed. Show that the following modification (where t_0 is not fixed) does not yield a secure fixed-length MAC for messages of length nq .

(Modified) Tag generation: For key $k \in \{0,1\}^n$ and message $m \in \{0,1\}^{nq}$,

- parse m as $m = (m_1, \dots, m_q)$ // q blocks //
- apply CBC to m , i.e., let

$$t_0 \leftarrow_u \{0,1\}^n \text{ and } t_i := F_k(m_i \oplus t_{i-1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq q$$

- output $\langle t_0, t_q \rangle$ as the tag

2. Show that appending the message length $|m|$ (number of blocks) to the *end* of m before applying basic-CBC-MAC does **not** result in a secure MAC for arbitrary-length messages.

Hint: The adversary obtains three samples as follows:

- Present a 1-block message m_1 to the oracle and obtain tag t_1 , where
$$t_1 = \text{basic-CBC-MAC}_k(m_1, |m_1|). \quad (k \text{ is a secret key not known to the adversary.})$$
- Present another 1-block message m_2 to the oracle and obtain tag t_2 , where
$$t_2 = \text{basic-CBC-MAC}_k(m_2, |m_2|).$$
- Present a 3-block message $m_3 = (m_1, |m_1|, m_2)$ to the oracle and obtain tag t_3 , where
$$t_3 = \text{basic-CBC-MAC}_k(m_1, |m_1|, m_2, |m_3|).$$
- **From the above three samples, construct a valid pair (m, t) .**

3. Let F be a pseudorandom function. Construct a fixed-length MAC scheme for messages of length $2n$ as follows. The shared key is a random $k \in \{0,1\}^n$. To authenticate a message $m_1 m_2$ with $|m_1| = |m_2| = n$, let the tag be $\langle F_k(m_1), F_k(F_k(m_2)) \rangle$. Is this scheme secure against chosen-message attacks? Justify your answer.