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Testing is not debugging!

- The purpose of testing is to detect the *existence* of errors, *not* to identify precisely where the errors came from.
- Error messages may be unhelpful or even deceptive.
- This is especially true with "kernel" implementations.

The only way to isolate and fix errors is by using a *systematic strategy* to locate mistakes in code. This process of "detective work" is known as *debugging*, and it is the vast majority of what we do in software engineering.
Curiosity is not a good debugging strategy!

- If you're new to debugging, you will be tempted to investigate bizarre output.

"Why did my Word Counter program just tell me that jet fuel can't melt steel beams?"

- This is a natural reaction, but it's a bad approach.
- It might seem strange, but it is 100x easier to fix a program than to figure out why it broke!
Before you begin...

- Start with the **simplest-looking test case that fails**.
- Then, reduce that case to a **minimal example that fails**.
  - The simpler the thing that fails, the more luck you'll have finding the problem.
  - You can do this very quickly (logarithmic time) with a binary search. (As in, remove half the problem; if that removes the bug, add back half what you removed...)
Warning! Debugging in "kernel" implementations...

- It can be very tricky to debug components with a data rep.
- If `toString()` or `equals()` is called, what happens?
  - Those methods are written in the abstract class, remember?
  - Those methods call your methods: the same code you're testing!
  - Testing with a reference class uses `assertEquals()`, which calls `equals()`. This means it is pointless to test kernel code until you have written every method.
- It's also more dangerous to debug kernels: if you accidentally `toString()` this while debugging, it can ruin your test...
Assertions: The Programmer's Friend

- If you see a **violated assertion** that isn't from the JUnit test case itself (like, it isn't one of the `assertEquals()` calls), this is usually a *good* sign!

- It means that somewhere in your code, you called a method without satisfying the precondition first.

- It also tells you the *exact* place in code where the bad call was made (it will appear *one line down* in the stack trace.)

- These tend to be the easiest errors to locate, which is why we use assertions to check preconditions during testing.

- In some cases it won't help much, though. For example, the assertion might have failed because you did something to corrupt the data representation in a different method.
The failure **stack trace** is on the left. It shows us **dequeue()** was called incorrectly by **set.add()** on line 122 (highlighted). The assertion was "this /= <>", meaning the Queue was *supposed to* not-equal empty string. **In other words, line 122 calls dequeue on an empty Queue.**
What if `assertEquals()` messages make no sense?

Example on next slide: `equals()` detected an error (it returned false), but `toString()` afterwards doesn't see the error.

Several possible ways this can happen but it means you have an error and why it's an error is not revealed by testing: now use `debugging` strategies
If you see: expected: Set1L\{A, B, C\} but was: Set2\{B, A, C\}, that's the same problem. Those should return equal. toString() is not an equality test and is never a substitute for equals()!
Setting up a test properly for reference unit testing

Set up test object

Set up ref object identically

MAKE SINGLE CALL TO METHOD BEING TESTED IN UUT
(exception: exhaustive tests, like you'll need to do for Project 5)

Make identical call to RU (exception: next slide)

Test return values for equality (if applicable)

Test UUT and RU for equality (always do this!) (important to do in right order)
Exceptions

exhaustive unit tests

NOTE: you're testing a lot of stuff, but the reason you only make a single call to the test method (except with exhaustive unit tests) is you want to be certain you have isolated that specific test; it's fine to overtest, remember testing /= debugging tests where results aren't fully deterministic (next slide)
Testing methods with indefinite behavior can be a little challenging. For `removeAny()`, we need an intermediate check to safely "match" the call we made on the UUT to the RU (by calling `remove()` instead).

```java
@Test
public void removeAnyThree() {
    // Set up the Unit Under Test (UUT) and Reference Unit (RU)
    Set<String> testUnit = this.createFromArgsTest("A", "B", "C");
    Set<String> refUnit = this.createFromArgsRef("A", "B", "C");

    // THE TEST CALL (we're isolating a SINGLE test case)
    String testResult = testUnit.removeAny();

    // The reference version of this test call must be done differently.
    // We first need an assert to make sure testResult CAN be removed:
    Assert.assertTrue(refUnit.contains(testResult));

    // Now we can change the RU to "match" what the UUT did.
    // No need to save 'refResult' because it MUST be equal to testResult.
    refUnit.remove(testResult);

    // Equality checks. (As above, no need to check testResult.)
    Assert.assertEquals(refUnit, testUnit);
}
```
Where to begin?

- You can't test your kernel until you've written all of the methods because it can fail when you try to `toString()` or `equals()` the test object, and all JUnit tests do these

- You don't want to start tracing into your code at the beginning of your test cases unless that's where it broke
  - You should trace right before the error was *detected*, which often means tracing through `equals()`

- You don't have code for `equals()`, but can trace in by:
  - Putting breakpoints into the kernel methods
  - Cleverly turning breakpoints on/off at the right time
Identify where things first might have gone wrong...

Three potential places: object setup, test call, assertEquals()

Start with the last one and work your way backwards. Let the test run up to assertEquals() before you pause. Check to see if the object is already messed up. If it isn't, this is where you start. If it is, stop the test and back up to the test call.
Before doing any debugging in a kernel, breakpoint the beginning of each kernel method.
Right-click to run a single test case in debug mode
You need to use the debugger to have any hope of writing code that works. Running a debug should automatically open the Debug perspective (a bunch of debug windows). If you ever screw up Debug perspective you can reset the perspective from the menu.

When I see this pop-up it usually tells me you have no clue what you're doing because you never use the debugger! :V
Working with Eclipse Perspectives

You'll mainly be using Java and Debug perspectives (well, also version control perspectives)

View → Perspective → Reset Perspective when you need to fix things (like if you accidentally remove a window)

You can modify perspectives there too

Play around, use Google, etc.
I've never used an editor whose default setup is such a mess. My suggestions are above.
Debugging Panes

Outline: it's bookmarks that jump you to places in the program; I rarely use this in debug because I almost always want to stay where the action is (the line being run)

add stuff about other panes (Debug, Breakpoints, Variables), kind of obvious from upcoming slides though
Much better! To the right is the stack trace. Note we're currently in `createFromArgsTest()`, which is earlier than we wanted to start debugging.
When you run debug mode *nothing will happen unless you remembered to turn on at least one breakpoint*.

- **Play** skips to the next breakpoint.
- **Stop** quits (this helps if you're in an infinite loop).
- **Step into** follows the next method call into method you're calling; *won't work if you don't have access to code*.
- **Step over** is your friend!
  - It's usually what you usually want.
  - Runs one line of code so you can see variable changes.
- **Step out** skips to the end of the method (use this if you accidentally step into code that you don't have).
public final boolean contains(T x) {
    assert x != null : "Violation of: x is not null";
    return false;
}

public final int size() {
    return this.elements.length();
}

public final Iterator<T> iterator() {
    // Implementation...
}
Let's skip to the breakpoint in our JUnit test by turning off the kernel breakpoints for now.
We can't step into `assertEquals`, but we can turn the kernel breakpoints back on and hit play.
Okay, we're currently in our test object, on which equals() in SetSecondary is calling size()
In what order are your methods called...?

You *don't* know the order the methods will be called in, but you can examine the initial/final states of variables each time a method runs to find the error.

The asserts inside kernel methods can call additional kernel methods (not a violation of KPR, because it's an assert, and it happens before method begins running).
Why debugging in a kernel is dangerous

- Anytime `equals()` or `toString()` is called, it's going to automatically test many of the test unit's methods
  
  - `toString()` gets called **DURING** debugging if you:
    - hover your cursor over this (in the kernel)
    - highlight this in the variables window

- The way to prevent this is by very carefully clicking the arrow to open up the object in the variables window **without** highlighting it.
  
  - It's fine to look at the **fields** of the object.
If you hover over variable **this** or highlight it in the vars window, you'll toString() it which will call all your kernel methods; OOPS
But if you click the arrow, you can safely look at the fields of this
Here's what happens if you accidentally go somewhere where you don't have code (I stepped over the return in size()).
Find the first error, fix, then rerun tests
Baby Steps

- In debugging you want to find and correct the first error that you see. As soon as you've found an error, correct that error only, then run that same test case again.

- Don't try to find more errors until you've rerun your tests (but you can correct any errors you see).

Even if the error you found couldn't possibly fix the test case you're debugging, the case could run differently without that error. Simple errors can mask more complicated errors.