THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

CSE 5525: Foundations of Speech and Language Processing

Sequence to sequence (seq2seq) II

Huan Sun (CSE@OSU)

Many thanks to Prof. Greg Durrett @ UT Austin for sharing his slides.

Recall: Seq2seq Model

- Generate next word conditioned on previous word as well as hidden state
- W size is |vocab| x |hidden state|, softmax over entire vocabulary



$$P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(W\bar{h})$$

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1})$$

Decoder has separate parameters from encoder, so this can learn to be a language model (produce a plausible next word given current one)

Recall: Seq2seq Training



- Objective: maximize $$\sum_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i^* | \mathbf{x}, y_1^*, \ldots, y_{i-1}^*)$$
- Teacher forcing: feed the correct word regardless of model's prediction (most typical way to train)

Recall: Semantic Parsing as Translation

"what states border Texas"

↓

```
lambda x ( state ( x ) and border ( x , e89 ) ) )
```

- Write down a linearized form of the semantic parse, train seq2seq models to directly translate into this representation
- No need to have an explicit grammar, simplifies algorithms
- Might not produce well-formed logical forms, might require lots of data

Jia and Liang (2015)

This Lecture

- Attention for sequence-to-sequence models
- Copy mechanisms for copying words to the output
- Transformer architecture

Attention

Problems with Seq2seq Models

- Encoder-decoder models like to repeat themselves:

Un garçon joue dans la neige → A boy plays in the snow **boy plays boy plays**

- When/why does this happen?
  - Models trained poorly
  - LSTM state is not behaving as expected so it gets stuck in a “loop” of generating the same output tokens again and again
- Need some notion of input coverage or what input words we’ve translated

Problems with Seq2seq Models

- Bad at long sentences: 1) a fixed-size hidden representation doesn't scale; 2) LSTMs still have a hard time remembering for really long periods of time



RNNenc: the model we've discussed so far

RNNsearch: uses attention

Bahdanau et al. (2014)

Problems with Seq2seq Models

- Unknown words:

en: The *ecotax* portico in *Pont-de-Buis* , . . . [truncated] . . . , was taken down on Thursday morning

fr: Le *portique* *écotaxe* de *Pont-de-Buis* , . . . [truncated] . . . , a été *démonté* jeudi matin

nn: Le `unk` de `unk` à `unk` , . . . [truncated] . . . , a été pris le jeudi matin

- Encoding these rare words into a vector space is really hard
- In fact, we don't want to encode them, we want a way of directly looking back at the input and copying them (e.g., *Pont-de-Buis*)

Jean et al. (2015), Luong et al. (2015)

Aligned Inputs

- Suppose we knew the source and target would be word-by-word translated



- Can look at the corresponding input word when translating — this could scale!



- Much less burden on the hidden state

- How can we achieve this without hardcoding it?

Attention



- At each decoder state, compute a distribution over source inputs based on current decoder state, use that in output layer

Attention

- For each decoder state, compute weighted sum of input states



- No attn: $P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(W\bar{h}_i)$

$$P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(W[c_i; \bar{h}_i])$$

$$c_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} h_j$$

- Weighted sum of input hidden states (vector)

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{j'} \exp(e_{ij'})}$$



$$e_{ij} = f(\bar{h}_i, h_j)$$

- Some function f (TBD)

Attention



$$c_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} h_j$$

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{j'} \exp(e_{ij'})}$$

$$e_{ij} = f(\bar{h}_i, h_j)$$

potential choices:

$$f(\bar{h}_i, h_j) = \bar{h}_i^\mathsf{T} \cdot h_j$$

- Luong+ (2015): dot product

$$f(\bar{h}_i, h_j) = \bar{h}_i^\top W h_j$$

- Luong+ (2015): bilinear

- Note that this all uses outputs of hidden layers

Luong et al. (2015)

Alternatives

- When do we compute attention? Can compute before or after RNN cell



- After RNN cell

Luong et al. (2015):

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1508.04025.pdf



- Before RNN cell; this one is a little more convoluted and less standard

Bahdanau et al. (2015)

https://arxiv.org/pdf/1409.0473.pdf

What can attention do?

- Learning to copy — how might this work?



- LSTM can learn to count with the right weight matrix

- This is a kind of position-based addressing

Luong et al. (2015)

What can attention do?

- Learning to subsample tokens



- Need to count (for ordering) and also determine which tokens are in/out
- Content-based addressing

Luong et al. (2015)

Attention

- Encoder hidden states capture contextual source word identity
- Decoder hidden states are now mostly responsible for selecting what to attend to
- Doesn’t take a complex hidden state to walk monotonically through a sentence and spit out word-by-word translations



Batching Attention

token outputs: batch size x sentence length x hidden size



hidden state: batch size x hidden size

$$e_{ij} = f(\bar{h}_i, h_j)$$

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{\exp(e_{ij})}{\sum_{j'} \exp(e_{ij'})}$$

sentence outputs: batch size x hidden size

attention scores = batch size x sentence length

c = batch size x hidden size

$$c_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} h_j$$

- Make sure tensors are the right size!

Luong et al. (2015)

Results

- Machine translation: BLEU score of 14.0 on English-German -> 16.8 with attention, 19.0 with smarter attention (we'll come back to this later)
- Summarization/headline generation: bigram recall from 11% -> 15%
- Semantic parsing: ~30-50% accuracy -> 70+% accuracy on Geoquery

Luong et al. (2015)
Chopra et al. (2016)
Jia and Liang (2016)

Copying Input/Pointers

Unknown Words



- Want to be able to copy named entities like Pont-de-Buis

$$P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(W[c_i; \bar{h}_i])$$

from attention

from RNN hidden state

- Problems: target word has to be in the vocabulary, attention + RNN need to generate good embedding to pick it

Jean et al. (2015), Luong et al. (2015)

Pointer Networks

$$P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = \text{softmax}(W[c_i; \bar{h}_i])$$



- Standard decoder (P_{vocab}): softmax over vocabulary, all words get >0 prob
- Pointer network: predict from *source words* instead of *target vocab*

$$P_{\text{pointer}}(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) \propto \begin{cases} h_j^\top V \bar{h}_i \text{ if } y_i = w_j \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$





Pointer Generator Mixture Models

- Define the decoder model as a mixture model of the P_{vocab} and P_{pointer} models (previous slide)

$$P(y_i|\mathbf{x}, y_1, \ldots, y_{i-1}) = P(\text{copy})P_{\text{pointer}} + (1 - P(\text{copy}))P_{\text{vocab}}$$

- Predict *P*(copy) based on decoder state, input, etc.
- Marginalize over copy variable during training and inference
- Model will be able to both generate and copy, flexibly adapt between the two



Copying

en: The *ecotax* portico in *Pont-de-Buis* , . . . [truncated] . .

fr: Le *portique* *écotaxe* de *Pont-de-Buis* , . . . [truncated]

nn: Le *unk* de *unk* à *unk* , . . . [truncated] . . . , a été pris



- Some words we may want to copy may not be in the fixed output vocab (*Pont-de-Buis*)
- Solution: expand the vocabulary dynamically. New words can only be predicted by copying (always 0 probability under P_{vocab})

Results

	GEO	ATIS
No Copying	74.6	69.9
With Copying	85.0	76.3

- For semantic parsing, copying tokens from the input (*texas*) can be very useful
- Copying typically helps a bit, but attention captures most of the benefit. However, vocabulary expansion is critical for some tasks (machine translation)

https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03622 Jia and Liang (2016)

Takeaways

- Attention is very helpful for seq2seq models
- Explicitly copying input can be beneficial as well
- Transformers are strong models we'll come back to later
  - https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762 Vaswani et al. (2017)