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## Mining Multiple-Level Frequent Patterns

$\square$ Items often form hierarchies

- Ex.: Dairyland 2\% milk; Wonder wheat bread
$\square$ How to set min-support thresholds?

$\square$ Uniform min-support across multiple levels (reasonable?)
$\square$ Level-reduced min-support: Items at the lower level are expected to have lower support


## ML/MD Associations with Flexible Support Constraints

$\square$ Why flexible support constraints?

- Real life occurrence frequencies vary greatly
- Diamond, watch, pens in a shopping basket
- Uniform support may not be an interesting model
$\square$ A flexible model
- The lower-level, the more dimension combination, and the long pattern length, usually the smaller support
- General rules should be easy to specify and understand
- Special items and special group of items may be specified individually and have higher priority


## Multi-level Association: Redundancy Filtering

$\square$ Some rules may be redundant due to "ancestor" relationships between items.
$\square$ Example
$\square$ milk $\Rightarrow$ wheat bread $\quad$ [support $=8 \%$, confidence $=70 \%$ ]
$\square 2 \%$ milk $\Rightarrow$ wheat bread [support $=2 \%$, confidence $=72 \%$ ]
$\square$ Suppose the $2 \%$ milk sold is about $1 / 4$ of milk sold
$\square$ We say the first rule is an ancestor of the second rule.
$\square$ A rule is redundant if its support is close to the "expected" value, based on the rule's ancestor.

## Multi-Level Mining: Progressive Deepening

$\square$ A top-down, progressive deepening approach:
$\square$ First mine high-level frequent items: milk ( $15 \%$ ), bread ( $10 \%$ )

- Then mine their lower-level "weaker" frequent itemsets:
$2 \%$ milk ( $5 \%$ ), wheat bread ( $4 \%$ )
$\square$ Different min_support threshold across multi-levels lead to different algorithms:


## Mining Multi-Dimensional Associations

$\square$ Single-dimensional rules (e.g., items are all in "product" dimension)
$\square \operatorname{buys}(X, " m i l k ") \Rightarrow \operatorname{buys}(X, " b r e a d ")$
$\square$ Multi-dimensional rules (i.e., items in $\geq 2$ dimensions or predicates)
$\square$ Inter-dimension association rules (no repeated predicates)
■ age(X, " $18-25$ ") $\wedge$ occupation( X , "student") $\Rightarrow$ buys( $X$, "coke")
$\square$ Hybrid-dimension association rules (repeated predicates)
■ age(X, "18-25") $\wedge$ buys(X, "popcorn") $\Rightarrow$ buys(X, "coke")

## Mining Rare Patterns vs. Negative Patterns

$\square$ Rare patterns
$\square$ Very low support but interesting (e.g., buying Rolex watches)
$\square$ How to mine them? Setting individualized, group-based min-support thresholds for different groups of items

## Mining Rare Patterns vs. Negative Patterns

$\square$ Rare patterns
$\square$ Very low support but interesting (e.g., buying Rolex watches)

- How to mine them? Setting individualized, group-based min-support thresholds for different groups of items
$\square$ Negative patterns
- Negatively correlated: Unlikely to happen together
$\square$ Ex.: Since it is unlikely that the same customer buys both a Ford Expedition (an SUV car) and a Ford Fusion (a hybrid car), buying a Ford Expedition and buying a Ford Fusion are likely negatively correlated patterns
$\square$ How to define negative patterns?


## Defining Negative Correlated Patterns

$\square$ A (relative) support-based definition
$\square$ If itemsets $A$ and $B$ are both frequent but rarely occur together, i.e., sup $(A \cup B) \ll$ sup (A) $\times \sup (B)$
$\square$ Then $A$ and $B$ are negatively correlated
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- When there are in total 200 transactions, we have
$\square s(A \cup B)=0.005, s(A) \times s(B)=0.25, s(A \cup B) \ll s(A) \times s(B)$
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$\square s(A \cup B)=1 / 10^{5}, s(A) \times s(B)=1 / 10^{3} \times 1 / 10^{3}, s(A \cup B)>s(A) \times s(B)$


## Defining Negative Correlated Patterns

$\square$ A (relative) support-based definition
If itemsets $A$ and $B$ are both frequent but rarely occur together, i.e., sup $(A \cup B) \ll$ sup $(A) \times \sup (B)$
$\square$ Then $A$ and $B$ are negatively correlated
Does this remind you the definition of lift?
$\square$ Is this a good definition for large transaction datasets?
$\square$ Ex.: Suppose a store sold two needle packages A and B 100 times each, but only one transaction contained both $A$ and $B$

- When there are in total 200 transactions, we have
$\square s(A \cup B)=0.005, s(A) \times s(B)=0.25, s(A \cup B) \ll s(A) \times s(B)$
$\square$ But when there are $10^{5}$ transactions, we have
$\square s(A \cup B)=1 / 10^{5}, s(A) \times s(B)=1 / 10^{3} \times 1 / 10^{3}, s(A \cup B)>s(A) \times s(B)$
- What is the problem?-Null transactions: The support-based definition is not nullinvariant!


## Defining Negative Correlation: Need Null-Invariance in Definition

$\square$ A good definition on negative correlation should take care of the null-invariance problem
$\square$ Whether two itemsets $A$ and $B$ are negatively correlated should not be influenced by the number of null-transactions

Which measure should we use? Recall last lectures....

## Defining Negative Correlation: Need Null-Invariance in Definition

$\square$ A good definition on negative correlation should take care of the null-invariance problem
$\square$ Whether two itemsets A and B are negatively correlated should not be influenced by the number of null-transactions
$\square$ A Kulczynski measure-based definition

- If itemsets $A$ and $B$ are frequent but

$$
(s(A \cup B) / s(A)+s(A \cup B) / s(B)) / 2<\epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a negative pattern threshold, then $A$ and $B$ are negatively correlated
$\square$ For the same needle package problem:

- No matter there are in total 200 or $10^{5}$ transactions
- If $\epsilon=0.02$, we have
$(s(A \cup B) / s(A)+s(A \cup B) / s(B)) / 2=(0.01+0.01) / 2<\epsilon$
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## Constraint-based Data Mining

$\square$ Finding all the patterns in a database autonomously? - unrealistic!

- The patterns could be too many but not focused!
$\square$ Data mining should be an interactive process
$\square$ User directs what to be mined using a data mining query language (or a graphical user interface)
$\square$ Constraint-based mining
$\square$ User flexibility: provides constraints on what to be mined
$\square$ System optimization: explores such constraints for efficient mining-constraintbased mining


## Categories of Constraints

Constraint 1 (Item constraint). An item constraint specifies what are the particular individual or groups of items that should or should not be present in the pattern.

For example, a dairy company may be interested in patterns containing only dairy products, when it mines transactions in a grocery store.

Constraint 2 (Length constraint). A length constraint specifies the requirement on the length of the patterns, i.e., the number of items in the patterns.

For example, when mining classification rules for documents, a user may be interested in only frequent patterns with at least 5 keywords, a typical length constraint.

## Categories of Constraints

Constraint 3 (Model-based constraint). A modelbased constraint looks for patterns which are sub- or superpatterns of some given patterns (models).

For example, a travel agent may be interested in what other cities that a visitor is likely to travel if s/he visits both Washington and New York city. That is, they want to find frequent patterns which are super-patterns of \{Washington, New York city $\}$.

Constraint 4 (Aggregate constraint). An aggregate constraint is on an aggregate of items in a pattern, where the aggregate function can be SUM, AVG, MAX, MIN, etc.

For example, a marketing analyst may like to find frequent patterns where the average price of all items in each pattern is over $\$ 100$.

## Constrained Frequent Pattern Mining: A Mining Query Optimization Problem

$\square$ Given a frequent pattern mining query with a set of constraints $C$, the algorithm should be
$\square$ sound: it only finds frequent sets that satisfy the given constraints $C$
$\square$ complete: all frequent sets satisfying the given constraints $C$ are found

## Constrained Frequent Pattern Mining: A Mining Query Optimization Problem

$\square$ Given a frequent pattern mining query with a set of constraints $C$, the algorithm should be
$\square$ sound: it only finds frequent sets that satisfy the given constraints $C$
$\square$ complete: all frequent sets satisfying the given constraints $C$ are found
$\square$ A naïve solution

- First find all frequent sets, and then test them for constraint satisfaction


## The Apriori Algorithm - Example



## Naïve Algorithm: Apriori + Constraint (Naïve Solution)



## Constrained Frequent Pattern Mining: A Mining Query Optimization Problem

$\square$ Given a frequent pattern mining query with a set of constraints $C$, the algorithm should be
$\square$ sound: it only finds frequent sets that satisfy the given constraints $C$
$\square$ complete: all frequent sets satisfying the given constraints $C$ are found
$\square$ A naïve solution
$\square$ First find all frequent sets, and then test them for constraint satisfaction
$\square$ More efficient approaches:
$\square$ Analyze the properties of constraints comprehensively
$\square$ Push them as deeply as possible inside the frequent pattern computation.

## Anti-Monotonicity in Constraint-Based Mining

$\square$ Anti-monotonicity

- When an itemset $S$ violates the constraint, so does any of its superset
$\square$ sum(S.Price) $\leq v$ is anti-monotone?
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## Anti-Monotonicity in Constraint-Based Mining

TDB (min_sup=2)
$\square$ Anti-monotonicity
$\square$ When an itemset $S$ violates the constraint, so does any of its superset
$\square \operatorname{sum}(S . P r i c e) \leq v$ is anti-monotone
$\square \operatorname{sum}($ S.Price $) \geq v$ is not anti-monotone
$\square$ Example. C: range(S.profit) $\leq 15$ is anti-monotone

- Itemset ab violates $C$
- So does every superset of $a b$
$\square$ Define range(S.profit) $=\max (S . A)-\min (S . A)$

| TID | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ |


| Item | Profit |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| b | 0 |
| c | -20 |
| d | 10 |
| e | -30 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| h | -10 |

## Which Constraints Are Anti-Monotone?

| Constraint | Antimonotone |
| :---: | :---: |
| $v \in S$ | No |
| $\mathbf{S}$ ¢ V | no |
| $\mathbf{S \subseteq V}$ | yes |
| $\min (S) \leq v$ | no |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}(\mathrm{S}) \geq \mathrm{v}$ | yes |
| $\max (\mathrm{S}) \leq \mathrm{v}$ | yes |
| $\max (\mathrm{S}) \geq \mathrm{v}$ | no |
| count(S) $\leq$ v | yes |
| count(S) $\geq \mathrm{V}$ | no |
| sum(S) $\leq \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{a} \geq 0$ ) | yes |
| $\operatorname{sum}(S) \geq v(a \in S, a \geq 0)$ | no |
| range(S) $\leq$ v | yes |
| range(S) $\geq \mathrm{V}$ | no |
| $\operatorname{avg}(\mathbf{S}) \theta \mathrm{v}, \theta \in\{=, \leq, \geq\}$ | convertible |
| support(S) $\geq \boldsymbol{\xi}$ | yes |
| support(S) $\leq \boldsymbol{\xi}$ | no |

## Monotonicity in Constraint-Based Mining

$\square$ Monotonicity

- When an intemset $S$ satisfies the constraint, so does any of its superset
$\square \operatorname{sum}($ S.Price $) \geq v$ is ?
$\square \min ($ S.Price $) \leq v$ is ?


## Monotonicity in Constraint-Based Mining

$\square$ Monotonicity

- When an intemset $S$ satisfies the constraint, so does any of its superset
$\square$ sum(S.Price) $\geq v$ is monotone
$\square \min ($ S.Price $) \leq v$ is monotone


## Monotonicity in Constraint-Based Mining

$\square$ Monotonicity
$\square$ When an intemset $S$ satisfies the constraint, so does any of its superset
$\square$ sum(S.Price) $\geq v$ is monotone
$\square \min (S$. Price $) \leq v$ is monotone
$\square$ Example. C: range(S.profit) $\geq 15$

- Itemset ab satisfies $C$
$\square$ So does every superset of ab
TDB (min_sup=2)

| TID | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | a, b, c, d, f |
| 20 | b, c, d, f, g, h |
| 30 | a, c, d, e, f |
| 40 | c, e, f, g |
| Item Profit <br> a 40 <br> b 0 <br> c -20 <br> d 10 <br> e -30 <br> f 30 <br> g 20 <br> h -10 |  | 

## Which Constraints Are Monotone?

| Constraint | Monotone |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbf{S}$ | yes |
| $S \supseteq \mathrm{~V}$ | yes |
| $\mathrm{S} \subseteq \mathrm{V}$ | no |
| $\boldsymbol{m i n}(\mathrm{S}) \leq \mathrm{v}$ | yes |
| $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { m i n }}(\mathrm{S}) \geq \mathrm{v}$ | no |
| $\max (\mathrm{S}) \leq \mathrm{v}$ | no |
| $\max (\mathrm{S}) \geq \mathrm{v}$ | yes |
| count(S) $\leq$ v | no |
| count(S) $\geq$ v | yes |
| sum(S) $\leq v(a \in S, a \geq 0)$ | no |
| $\operatorname{sum}(S) \geq v(a \in S, a \geq 0)$ | yes |
| range(S) $\leq$ v | no |
| range(S) $\geq \mathrm{v}$ | yes |
| $\operatorname{avg}(\mathbf{S}) \theta \mathrm{v}, \theta \in\{=, \leq, \geq\}$ | convertible |
| support(S) $\geq \xi$ | no |
| support(S) $\leq \boldsymbol{\xi}$ | yes |

## The Apriori Algorithm - Example



## Naïve Algorithm: Apriori + Constraint



Pushing the constraint deep into the process
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$\square$ Convert tough constraints into anti-monotone or monotone by properly ordering items

## Converting "Tough" Constraints

$\square$ Convert tough constraints into anti-monotone or monotone by properly ordering items
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$\square$ So does afbh, afb*
- It becomes anti-monotone!


## Converting "Tough" Constraints

$\square$ Convert tough constraints into anti-monotone or monotone by properly ordering items
$\square$ Examine C: avg(S.profit) $\geq 25$
$\square$ Order items in value-descending order $\square<a, f, g, d, b, h, c, e>$

- If an itemset $a f b$ violates $C$
- So does afbh, afb*
- It becomes anti-monotone!
TDB (min_sup=2)

| TID | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}$ |


| Item | Profit |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| b | 0 |
| c | -20 |
| d | 10 |
| e | -30 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| h | -10 |

## Convertible Constraints

$\square$ Let $R$ be an order of items
$\square$ Convertible anti-monotone
$\square$ If an itemset $S$ violates a constraint $C$, so does every itemset having $S$ as a prefix w.r.t. R
$\square$ Ex. $\operatorname{avg}(S) \leq v$ w.r.t. item value ascending order Why?

## Convertible Constraints

$\square$ Let R be an order of items
$\square$ Convertible anti-monotone

- If an itemset $S$ violates a constraint $C$, so does every itemset having $S$ as a prefix w.r.t. R
$\square$ Ex. $\operatorname{avg}(S) \leq v$ w.r.t. item value ascending order
$\square$ Convertible monotone
If an itemset $S$ satisfies constraint $C$, so does every itemset having $S$ as a prefix w.r.t. R
$\square E x . \operatorname{avg}(S) \geq v$ w.r.t. item value ascending order


## Strongly Convertible Constraints

$\square \operatorname{avg}(X) \geq 25$ is convertible anti-monotone w.r.t. item value descending order $R:<a, f, g, d, b, h, c, e>$

- If an itemset of violates a constraint $C$, so does every itemset with of as prefix, such as afd
$\square \operatorname{avg}(X) \geq 25$ is convertible monotone w.r.t. item value ascending order $\mathrm{R}^{-1}$ : $\langle e, c, h, b, d, g, f, a>$
$\square$ If an itemset $d$ satisfies a constraint $C$, so does itemsets $d f$ and $d f a$, which having $d$ as a prefix
$\square$ Thus, $\operatorname{avg}(X) \geq 25$ is strongly convertible

| Item | Profit |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| b | 0 |
| c | -20 |
| d | 10 |
| e | -30 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| h | -10 |

## What Constraints Are Convertible?

| Constraint | Convertible anti-monotone | Convertible monotone | Strongly convertible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{avg}(\mathrm{S}) \leq, \geq \mathrm{v}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| median(S) $\leq, \geq \mathrm{v}$ | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| sum $(S) \leq v$ (items could be of any value, $v \geq 0$ ) | Yes | No | No |
| $\operatorname{sum}(S) \leq v$ (items could be of any value, $\mathrm{v} \leq 0$ ) | No | Yes | No |
| sum $(S) \geq v$ (items could be of any value, $\mathrm{v} \geq 0$ ) | No | Yes | No |
| sum $(S) \geq v$ (items could be of any value, $\mathrm{v} \leq 0$ ) | Yes | No | No |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |

## Combing Them Together-A General Picture

| Constraint | Antimonotone | Monotone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{S}$ | no | yes |
| $\mathbf{S} \supseteq \mathbf{V}$ | no | yes |
| $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ | yes | no |
| $\min (\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}$ | no | yes |
| $\min (\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}$ | yes | no |
| $\max (\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}$ | yes | no |
| $\max (\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}$ | no | yes |
| $\operatorname{count}(\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}$ | yes | no |
| $\operatorname{count}(\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}$ | no | yes |
| sum(S) $\leq \mathbf{v} \mathbf{( a} \in \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0})$ | yes | no |
| sum(S) $\geq \mathbf{v} \mathbf{( a \in S , a \geq 0 )}$ | no | yes |
| range(S) $\leq \mathbf{v}$ | yes | no |
| range(S) $\geq \mathbf{v}$ | no | yes |
| avg(S) $\theta$ v, $\theta \in\{=, \leq, \geq\}$ | convertible | convertible |
| support(S) $\geq \xi$ | yes | no |
| support(S) $\leq \xi$ | no | yes |

## Classification of Constraints



## Mining With Convertible Constraints

$\square$ C: $\operatorname{avg}($ S.profit $) \geq 25$

TDB (min_sup=2) | TID | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ |
| 20 | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ |

$\square$ Scan transaction DB once
$\square$ remove infrequent items
■ Item $h$ in transaction 40 is dropped
$\square$ Itemsets a and $f$ are good

| Item | Profit |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| d | 10 |
| b | 0 |
| h | -10 |
| c | -20 |
| e | -30 |

## Can Apriori Handle Convertible Constraint?

$\square$ A convertible, not monotone nor anti-monotone cannot be pushed deep into the an Apriori mining algorithm
$\square$ Within the level wise framework, no direct pruning based on the constraint can be made
$\square$ Itemset df violates constraint $C$ : $\operatorname{avg}(X)>=25$
$\square$ Can we prune df afterwards?

| Item | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| b | 0 |
| c | -20 |
| d | 10 |
| e | -30 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| h | -10 |

## Can Apriori Handle Convertible Constraint?

$\square$ A convertible, not monotone nor anti-monotone cannot be pushed deep into the an Apriori mining algorithm
$\square$ Within the level wise framework, no direct pruning based on the constraint can be made
$\square$ Itemset df violates constraint $C$ : $\operatorname{avg}(X)>=25$
$\square$ Since adf satisfies C, Apriori needs df to assemble adf, df cannot be pruned

| Item | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| b | 0 |
| c | -20 |
| d | 10 |
| e | -30 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| h | -10 |

$\square$ But it can be pushed into frequent-pattern growth framework!

## Mining With Convertible Constraints in FP-Growth Framework

$\square C: \operatorname{avg}(X)>=25, \min \_$sup $=2$
$\square$ List items in every transaction in value descending order R: <a, f, g, d, b, h, c, e>
$\square \mathrm{C}$ is convertible anti-monotone w.r.t. $R$
$\square$ Scan TDB once
$\square$ remove infrequentitems

- Item $h$ is dropped
- Itemsets a and fare good,...
$\square$ Projection-based mining
- Imposing an appropriate order on item projection
- Many tough constraints can be converted into (anti)monotone
TDB (min_sup $=2$ )

| TID | Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | a, f, d, b, c |
| 20 | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ |


| Item | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| a | 40 |
| f | 30 |
| g | 20 |
| d | 10 |
| b | 0 |
| h | -10 |
| c | -20 |
| e | -30 |

## Mining With Convertible Constraints in FP-Growth Framework



Constrained Frequent Pattern Mining: A Pattern-Growth View

Jian Pei, Jiawei Han, SIGKDD 2002

## Handling Multiple Constraints

$\square$ Different constraints may require different or even conflicting itemordering
$\square$ If there exists an order $R$ s.t. both $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are convertible w.r.t. $R$, then there is no conflict between the two convertible constraints
$\square$ If there exists conflict on order of items
$\square$ Try to satisfy one constraint first
$\square$ Then using the order for the other constraint to mine frequent itemsets in the corresponding projected database

## Chapter 7 : Advanced Frequent Pattern Mining

$\square$ Mining Diverse PatternsConstraint-Based Frequent Pattern MiningSequential Pattern Mining
$\square$ Graph Pattern Mining
$\square$ Pattern Mining Application: Mining Software Copy-and-Paste Bugs
$\square$ Summary

## Sequence Databases \& Sequential Patterns

$\square$ Sequential pattern mining has broad applications
$\square$ Customer shopping sequences

- Purchase a laptop first, then a digital camera, and then a smartphone, within 6 months
$\square$ Medical treatments, natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes), science \& engineering processes, stocks and markets, ...
$\square$ Weblog click streams, calling patterns, ...
$\square$ Software engineering: Program execution sequences, ...
$\square$ Biological sequences: DNA, protein, ...
$\square$ Transaction DB, sequence DB vs. time-series DB
$\square$ Gapped vs. non-gapped sequential patterns
$\square$ Shopping sequences, clicking streams vs. biological sequences


## Sequence Mining: Description

$\square$ Input
$\square$ A database $\mathbf{D}$ of sequences called data-sequences, in which:
$\square I=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}\right\}$ is the set of items

- each sequence is a list of transactions ordered by transaction-time
- each transaction consists of fields: sequence-id, transaction-id, transaction-time and a set of items.
$\square$ Problem
$\square$ To discover all the sequential patterns with a user-specified minimum support


## Input Database: example

## Database $\mathcal{D}$

| Sequence-Id | Transaction <br> Time | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| C1 | 1 | Ringworld |
| C1 | 2 | Foundation |
| C1 | 15 | Ringworld Engineers, Second Foundation |
| C2 | 1 | Foundation, Ringworld |
| C2 | 20 | Foundation and Empire |
| C2 | 50 | Ringworld Engineers |

45\% of customers who bought Foundation will buy Foundation and Empire within the next month.

## Sequential Pattern and Sequential Pattern Mining

$\square$ Sequential pattern mining: Given a set of sequences, find the complete set of frequent subsequences (i.e., satisfying the min_sup threshold)

## A sequence database

SID Sequence

| 10 | $<a(\underline{a b c})(a \underline{c}) d(c f)>$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $<(a d) c(b c)(a e)>$ |
| 30 | $<(e f)(a b)(d f) \underline{c} b>$ |
| 40 | $<e g(a f) c b c>$ |

## A sequence: < (ef) (ab) (df) c b >

- An element may contain a set of items (also called events)
Items within an element are unordered and we list them alphabetically
$<a(b c) d c>$ is a subsequence of <a $(a \underline{b c})(a c) \underline{d}(\underline{c} f)>$
- Given support threshold min_sup $=2,<(a b) c>$ is a sequential pattern


## A Basic Property of Sequential Patterns: Apriori

$\square$ A basic property: Apriori (Agrawal \& Sirkant'94)
$\square$ If a sequence $S$ is not frequent
$\square$ Then none of the super-sequences of $S$ is frequent
$\square$ E.g, <hb> is infrequent $\rightarrow$ so do <hab> and <(ah)b>

| Seq. ID | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | $<(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{cb}(\mathrm{ac})>$ |
| 20 | $<(\mathrm{bf})(\mathrm{ce}) \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{fg})>$ |
| 30 | $<(\mathrm{ah})(\mathrm{bf}) \mathrm{abf}>$ |
| 40 | $<(\mathrm{be})(\mathrm{ce}) \mathrm{d}>$ |
| 50 | $<\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{bd}) \mathrm{bcb}(\mathrm{ade})>$ |

Given support threshold min_sup $=2$

## GSP: Apriori-Based Sequential Pattern Mining



## GSP Mining and Pruning

$5^{\text {th }}$ scan: 1 cand. 1 length- 5 seq. pat. <(bd)cba>
$4^{\text {th }}$ scan: 8 cand. 7 length -4 seq. pat.
$3^{\text {rd }}$ scan: 46 cand. 20 length- 3 seq. pat. 20 cand. not in DB at all
$2^{\text {nd }}$ scan: 51 cand. 19 length-2 seq. pat. 10 cand. not in DB at all
$1^{\text {st }}$ scan: 8 cand. 6 length -1 seq. pat.

- Repeat (for each level (i.e., length-k))
- $\quad$ Scan DB to find length- $k$ frequent sequences
- Generate length-( $k+1$ ) candidate sequences from length-k frequent sequences using Apriori
- set $k=k+1$
- Until no frequent sequence or no candidate can be found

Candidates cannot pass min_sup threshold
<abba> < (bd)bc>

Candidates not in DB

## GSP: Algorithm

## Phase 1:

$\square$ Scan over the database to identify all the frequent items, i.e., 1 element sequences

## Phase 2:

- Iteratively scan over the database to discover all frequent sequences. Each iteration discovers all the sequences with the same length.
- In the iteration to generate all $k$-sequences
- Generate the set of all candidate $k$-sequences, $C_{k}$, by joining two ( $k-1$ )sequences if only their first and last items are different
- Prune the candidate sequence if any of its $k-1$ contiguous subsequence is not frequent
- Scan over the database to determine the support of the remaining candidate sequences
- Terminate when no more frequent sequences can be found


## GSP: Candidate Generation

| Frequent | Candidate 4-Sequences |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Sequences | after join | after pruning |
| $\langle(1,2)(3)\rangle$ | $\langle(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$ | $\langle(1,2)(3,4)\rangle$ |
| $\langle(1,2)(4)\rangle$ | $\langle(1,2)(3)(5)\rangle$ |  |
| $\langle(1)(3,4)\rangle$ |  |  |
| $\langle(1,3)(5)\rangle$ |  |  |
| $\langle(2)(3,4)\rangle$ |  |  |
| $\langle(2)(3)(5)\rangle$ |  |  |

Figure 3: Candidate Generation: Example

The sequence $<(1,2)(3)(5)>$ is dropped in the pruning phase, since its contiguous subsequence $<$ (1) (3) (5) $>$ is not frequent.

## GSP: Optimization Techniques

$\square$ Applied to phase 2: computation-intensive
$\square$ Technique 1: the hash-tree data structure
$\square$ Used for counting candidates to reduce the number of candidates that need to be checked

- Leaf: a list of sequences
- Interior node: a hash table
$\square$ Technique 2: data-representation transformation
- From horizontal format to vertical format

| Transaction-Time | Items |
| :---: | :--- |
| 10 | 1,2 |
| 25 | 4,6 |
| 45 | 3 |
| 50 | 1,2 |
| 65 | 3 |
| 90 | 2,4 |
| 95 | 6 |$\quad$| Item | Times |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $\rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 50 \rightarrow$ NULL |
| 2 | $\rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 50 \rightarrow 90 \rightarrow$ NULL |
| 3 | $\rightarrow 45 \rightarrow 65 \rightarrow$ NULL |
| 4 | $\rightarrow 25 \rightarrow 90 \rightarrow$ NULL |
| 5 | $\rightarrow$ NULL |
| 6 | $\rightarrow 25 \rightarrow 95 \rightarrow$ NULL |
| 7 | $\rightarrow$ NULL |

## Sequential Pattern Mining in Vertical Data Format: The SPADE Algorithm

- A sequence database is mapped to: <SID, EID>
- Grow the subsequences (patterns) one item at a time by Apriori candidate generation

| SID | Sequence |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $<a(a b c)(a \underline{c}) d(c f)>$ |
| 2 | $<(a d) c(b c)(a e)>$ |
| 3 | $<(e f)(\underline{a b})(d f) \underline{c} b>$ |
| 4 | $<e g(a f) c b c>$ |
|  | min_sup $=2$ |

Ref: SPADE (Sequential PAttern Discovery using Equivalent Class) [M. Zaki 2001]

| SID | EID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | a |
| 1 | 2 | abc |
| 1 | 3 | ac |
| 1 | 4 | d |
| 1 | 5 | cf |
| 2 | 1 | ad |
| 2 | 2 | c |
| 2 | 3 | bc |
| 2 | 4 | ae |
| 3 | 1 | ef |
| 3 | 2 | ab |
| 3 | 3 | df |
| 3 | 4 | c |
| 3 | 5 | b |
| 4 | 1 | e |
| 4 | 2 | g |
| 4 | 3 | af |
| 4 | 4 | c |
| 4 | 5 | b |
| 4 | 6 | c |


| a |  | b |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID | SID | EID | $\cdots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 |  |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |  |  |


| ab |  |  |  | ba |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID (a) | EID(b) | SID | EID (b) | EID (a) | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| aba |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SID | EID (a) | EID(b) | EID(a) | $\cdots$ |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |  |

## PrefixSpan: A Pattern-Growth Approach

| SID | Sequence | min_sup $=2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | <a(abc)(act)d(cf)> | Prefix | Suffix (Projection) |
| 20 | <(ad)c(bc)(ae)> | <a> | <(abc)(ac)d(cf)> |
| 30 | <(ef)(ab)(df) $\underline{\text { b }}$ > | <aa> | < (_bc)(ac)d(cf)> |
| 40 | <eg(af)cbc> | <ab> | < _ c) (ac)d(cf)> |

$\square$ PrefixSpan Mining: Prefix Projections

## - Prefix and suffix

- Given <a(abc)(ac)d(cf)>
$\square$ Prefixes: <a>, <aa>, $<a(a b)>,<a(a b c)>, \ldots$ Suffix: Prefixes-based projection
- Step 1: Find length-1 sequential patterns
$\square\langle a\rangle,\langle b\rangle,\langle c\rangle,\langle d\rangle,\langle e\rangle,<f\rangle$
- Step 2: Divide search space and mine each projected DB
- <a>-projected DB,
- <b>-projected DB,
- ...
- <f>-projected DB, ...
PrefixSpan (Prefix-projected Sequential pattern mining) Pei, et al. @TKDE'04


## PrefixSpan: Mining Prefix-Projected DBs



## Consideration:

## Pseudo-Projection vs. Physical Prlmplementation ojection

- Major cost of PrefixSpan: Constructing projected DBs
- Suffixes largely repeating in recursive projected DBs
$\square$ When DB can be held in main memory, use pseudo projection
- No physically copying suffixes

- Suggested approach:
- Integration of physical and pseudo-projection
$\square \quad$ Swapping to pseudo-projection when the data fits in memory


## CloSpan: Mining Closed Sequential Patterns

$\square$ A closed sequential pattern s: There exists no superpattern s' such that s'J s, and s' and $s$ have the same support
$\square$ Which ones are closed? <abc>: 20, <abcd>:20, <abcde>: 15

- Why directly mine closed sequential patterns?
- Reduce \# of (redundant) patterns
- Attain the same expressive power
- Property $P_{1}$ : If $s \supset s_{1}$, $s$ is closed iff two project DBs have the same size
- Explore Backward Subpattern and Backward Superpattern pruning to prune redundant search space
- Greatly enhances efficiency (Yan, et al., SDM’03)



## CloSpan: When Two Projected DBs Have the Same Size

- If $s \supset s_{1}, s$ is closed iff two project DBs have the same size
$\square$ When two projected sequence DBs have the same size?
$\square$ Here is one example:



## Chapter 7 : Advanced Frequent Pattern Mining

$\square$ Mining Diverse PatternsSequential Pattern MiningConstraint-Based Frequent Pattern Mining
$\square$ Graph Pattern Mining
$\square$ Pattern Mining Application: Mining Software Copy-and-Paste Bugs
$\square$ Summary

## Constraint-Based Pattern Mining

$\square$ Why Constraint-Based Mining?

- Different Kinds of Constraints: Different Pruning Strategies
$\square$ Constrained Mining with Pattern Anti-Monotonicity
$\square$ Constrained Mining with Pattern Monotonicity
- Constrained Mining with Data Anti-Monotonicity
$\square$ Constrained Mining with Succinct Constraints
- Constrained Mining with Convertible Constraints
$\square$ Handling Multiple Constraints
$\square$ Constraint-Based Sequential-Pattern Mining


## Why Constraint-Based Mining?

$\square$ Finding all the patterns in a dataset autonomously?-unrealistic!

- Too many patterns but not necessarily user-interested!
$\square$ Pattern mining in practice: Often a user-guided, interactive process
- User directs what to be mined using a data mining query language (or a graphical user interface), specifying various kinds of constraints
$\square$ What is constraint-based mining?
- Mine together with user-provided constraints
$\square$ Why constraint-based mining?
- User flexibility: User provides constraints on what to be mined
- Optimization: System explores such constraints for mining efficiency
- E.g., Push constraints deeply into the mining process


## Various Kinds of User-Specified Constraints in Data Mining

- Knowledge type constraint—Specifying what kinds of knowledge to mine
- Ex.: Classification, association, clustering, outlier finding, ...
- Data constraint—using SQL-like queries
- Ex.: Find products sold together in NY stores this year
- Dimension/level constraint—similar to projection in relational database
- Ex.: In relevance to region, price, brand, customer category
- Interestingness constraint-various kinds of thresholds
- Ex.: Strong rules: min_sup $\geq 0.02$, min_conf $\geq 0.6$, min_correlation $\geq 0.7$
$\square$ Rule (or pattern) constraint $\square$ The focus of this study
Ex.: Small sales (price < \$10) triggers big sales (sum > \$200)


## Pattern Space Pruning with Pattern Anti-Monotonicity

TID Transaction

| 10 | $a, b, c, d, f, h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $b, c, d, f, g, h$ |
| 30 | $b, c, d, f, g$ |
| 40 | $a, c, e, f, g$ |


| min_sup $=2$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Price | Profit |
| a | 100 | 40 |
| b | 40 | 0 |
| c | 150 | -20 |
| d | 35 | -15 |
| e | 55 | -30 |
| f | 45 | -10 |
| g | 80 | 20 |
| h | 10 | 5 |

- A constraint $c$ is anti-monotone
- If an itemset $S$ violates constraint $c$, so does any of its superset
- That is, mining on itemset $S$ can be terminated
- Ex. 1: $\mathrm{c}_{1}: \operatorname{sum}($ S.price $) \leq v$ is anti-monotone
- Ex. 2: $c_{2}$ : range(S.profit) $\leq 15$ is anti-monotone
- Itemset $a b$ violates $c_{2}$ (range $\left.(a b)=40\right)$
- So does every superset of $a b$
- Ex. 3. $c_{3}: \operatorname{sum}($ S.Price $) \geq v$ is not anti-monotone
- Ex. 4 . $\mathrm{Is}_{4}$ : $\operatorname{support}(S) \geq \sigma$ anti-monotone?
- Yes! Apriori pruning is essentially pruning with an anti-monotonic constraint!

```
Note: item.price > 0
Profit can be negative
```


## Pattern Monotonicity and Its Roles

- A constraint $c$ is monotone: If an itemset $S$ satisfies the

| TID | Transaction |
| :--- | :--- |
| 10 | $a, b, c, d, f, h$ |
| 20 | $b, c, d, f, g, h$ |
| 30 | $b, c, d, f, g$ |
| 40 | $a, c, e, f, g$ |

$$
\text { min_sup }=2
$$

| Item | Price | Profit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 100 | 40 |
| b | 40 | 0 |
| c | 150 | -20 |
| d | 35 | -15 |
| e | 55 | -30 |
| f | 45 | -10 |
| g | 80 | 20 |
| h | 10 | 5 | constraint c , so does any of its superset

- That is, we do not need to check $c$ in subsequent mining
- Ex. 1: $\mathrm{c}_{1}: \operatorname{sum}(S$. Price $) \geq v$ is monotone
- Ex. 2: $\mathrm{c}_{2}$ : $\min ($ S.Price $) \leq v$ is monotone
- Ex. 3: $c_{3}$ : range(S.profit) $\geq 15$ is monotone
- Itemset $a b$ satisfies $c_{3}$
- So does every superset of $a b$


## Data Space Pruning with Data Anti-Monotonicity

| 10 | $a, b, c, d, f, h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 20 | $b, c, d, f, g, h$ |
| 30 | $b, c, d, f, g$ |
| 40 | $a, c, e, f, g$ |


| min_sup $=2$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Price | Profit |
| a | 100 | 40 |
| b | 40 | 0 |
| c | 150 | -20 |
| d | 35 | -15 |
| e | 55 | -30 |
| f | 45 | -10 |
| g | 80 | 20 |
| h | 10 | 5 |

$\square$ A constraint $c$ is data anti-monotone: In the mining process, if a data entry $t$ cannot satisfy a pattern $p$ under $c, t$ cannot satisfy $p$ 's superset either
$\square$ Data space pruning: Data entry $t$ can be pruned
$\square$ Ex. 1: $\mathrm{c}_{1}: \operatorname{sum}(S . P r o f i t) \geq v$ is data anti-monotone

- Let constraint $c_{1}$ be: $\operatorname{sum}($ S.Profit $) \geq 25$
$-T_{30}:\{b, c, d, f, g\}$ can be removed since none of their combinations can make an $S$ whose sum of the profit is $\geq 25$
$\square$ Ex. 2: $c_{2}: \min (S . P r i c e) \leq v$ is data anti-monotone
- Consider $v=5$ but every item in a transaction, say $T_{50}$, has a price higher than 10
$\square$ Ex. 3: $c_{3}$ : range(S.Profit) $>25$ is data anti-monotone


## Expressing Patterns in Compressed Form: Closed Patterns

$\square$ How to handle such a challenge?
$\square$ Solution 1: Closed patterns: A pattern (itemset) $X$ is closed if $X$ is frequent, and there exists no super-pattern $\mathrm{Y} \supset \mathrm{X}$, with the same support as X
$\square$ Let Transaction DB TDB ${ }_{1}: T_{1}:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{50}\right\} ; T_{2}:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{100}\right\}$
$\square$ Suppose minsup $=1$. How many closed patterns does TDB 1 contain?

- Two: $P_{1}$ : "\{ $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, a_{50}\right\}: 2 " ; P_{2}$ : "\{ $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, a_{100}\right\}: 1 "$
$\square$ Closed pattern is a lossless compression of frequent patterns
$\square$ Reduces the \# of patterns but does not lose the support information!
- You will still be able to say: " $\left\{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{40}\right\}$ : $2 ", "\left\{a_{5}, a_{51}\right\}: 1$ "


## Expressing Patterns in Compressed Form: Max-Patterns

$\square$ Solution 2: Max-patterns: A pattern $X$ is a maximal frequent pattern or max-pattern if X is frequent and there exists no frequent super-pattern $\mathrm{Y} \supset \mathrm{X}$
$\square$ Difference from close-patterns?
$\square$ Do not care the real support of the sub-patterns of a max-pattern

- Let Transaction DB TDB ${ }_{1}: T_{1}:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{50}\right\} ; T_{2}:\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{100}\right\}$
$\square$ Suppose minsup $=1$. How many max-patterns does TDB ${ }_{1}$ contain?
- One: P: "\{a $\left.a_{1}, \ldots, a_{100}\right\}: 1 "$
$\square$ Max-pattern is a lossy compression!
$\square$ We only know $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{40}\right\}$ is frequent
- But we do not know the real support of $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{40}\right\}, \ldots$, any more!
$\square$ Thus in many applications, close-patterns are more desirable than max-patterns


## Scaling FP-growth by Item-Based Data Projection

$\square$ What if FP-tree cannot fit in memory?—Do not construct FP-tree

- "Project" the database based on frequent single items
- Construct \& mine FP-tree for each projected DB
$\square$ Parallel projection vs. partition projection
$\square$ Parallel projection: Project the DB on each frequent item
- Space costly, all partitions can be processed in parallel
$\square$ Partition projection: Partition the DB in order
- Passing the unprocessed parts to subsequent partitions

| Trans. DB |  | Parallel projection |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{f}_{3} \mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{gh}$ |  | $\mathrm{f}_{4}$-pro | $\mathrm{f}_{3}$-pro |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3} \mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{ij}$ | Assume only f's are | $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{f}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2} \mathrm{f}_{4} \mathrm{k}$ | frequent \& the | $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{1} \mathrm{f}_{3} \mathrm{~h}$ | frequent item <br> ordering is: $f_{1}-f_{2}-f_{3}-f_{4}$ | $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | ... |
| ... |  | ... |  |



## Analysis of DBLP Coauthor Relationships

- DBLP: Computer science research publication bibliographic database
- $>3.8$ million entries on authors, paper, venue, year, and other information

| ID | Author $A$ | Author $B$ | $s(A \cup B)$ | $s(A)$ | $s(B)$ | Jaccard | Cosine | Kulc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Martin Ester | 28 | 146 | 54 | $0.163(2)$ | $0.315(7)$ | $0.355(9)$ |
| 2 | Michael Carey | Miron Livny | 26 | 104 | 58 | $0.191(1)$ | $0.335(4)$ | $0.349(10)$ |
| 3 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Joerg Sander | 24 | 146 | 36 | $0.152(3)$ | $0.331(5)$ | $0.416(8)$ |
| 4 | Christos Faloutsos | Spiros Papadimitriou | 20 | 162 | 26 | $0.119(7)$ | $0.308(10)$ | $0.446(7)$ |
| 5 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Martin Pfeifle | 18 | 146 | $18)$ | $0.123(6)$ | $0.351(2)$ | $0.562(2)$ |
| 6 | Hector Garcia-Molina | Wilburt Labio | 16 | 144 | 18 | $0.110(9)$ | $0.314(8)$ | $0.500(4)$ |
| 7 | Divyakant Agrawal | Wang Hsiung | 16 | 120 | 16 | $0.133(5)$ | $0.365(1)$ | $0.567(1)$ |
| 8 | Elke Rundensteiner | Murali Mani | 16 | 104 | 20 | $0.148(4)$ | $0.351(3)$ | $0.477(6)$ |
| 9 | Divyakant Agrawal | Oliver Po | 12 | 120 | 12 | $0.100(10)$ | $0.316(6)$ | $0.550(3)$ |
| 10 | Gerhard Weikum | Martin Theobald | 12 | 106 | 14 | $0.111(8)$ | $0.312(9)$ | $0.485(5)$ |

Advisor-advisee relation: Kulc: high, Jaccard: low, cosine: middle
$\square$ Which pairs of authors are strongly related?

- Use Kulc to find Advisor-advisee, close collaborators


## Analysis of DBLP Coauthor Relationships

$\square$ DBLP: Computer science research publication bibliographic database
$\square \quad>3.8$ million entries on authors, paper, venue, year, and other information

| ID | Author $A$ | Author $B$ | $s(A \cup B)$ | $s(A)$ | $s(B)$ | Jaccard | Cosine | Kulc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Martin Ester | 28 | 146 | 54 | 0.163 (2) | 0.315 (7) | 0.355 (9) |
| 2 | Michael Carey | Miron Livny | 26 | 104 | 58 | 0.191 (1) | 0.335 (4) | 0.349 (10) |
| 3 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Joerg Sander | 24 | 146 | 36 | 0.152 (3) | 0.331 (5) | 0.416 (8) |
| 4 | Christos Faloutsos | Spiros Papadimitriou | 20 | 162 | 26 | 0.119 (7) | 0.308 (10) | 0.446 (7) |
| 5 | Hans-Peter Kriegel | Martin Pfeifle | 18 | 146 | 18 | 0.123 (6) | 0.351 (2) | 0.562 (2) |
| 6 | Hector Garcia-Molina | Wilburt Labio | 16 | 144 | 18 | 0.110 (9) | 0.314 (8) | 0.500 (4) |
| 7 | Divyakant Agrawal | Wang Hsiung | 16 | 120 | 16 | 0.133 (5) | 0.365 (1) | 0.567 (1) |
| 8 | Elke Rundensteiner | Murali Mani | 16 | 104 | 20 | 0.148 (4) | 0.351 (3) | 0.477 (6) |
| 9 | Divyakant Agrawal | Oliver Po | <12 | 120 | 12 | $0.100(10)$ | 0.316 (6) | 0.550 (3) |
| 10 | Gerhard Weikum | Martin Theobald | 12 | 106 | 14 | 0.111 (8) | 0.312 (9) | 0.485 (5) |

Advisor-advisee relation: Kulc: high, Jaccard: low, cosine: middle
$\square$ Which pairs of authors are strongly related?
$\square$ Use Kulc to find Advisor-advisee, close collaborators

## What Measures to Choose for Effective Pattern Evaluation?

$\square$ Null value cases are predominant in many large datasets

- Neither milk nor coffee is in most of the baskets; neither Mike nor Jim is an author in most of the papers; ......
$\square$ Null-invariance is an important property
$\square$ Lift, $\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and cosine are good measures if null transactions are not predominant
- Otherwise, Kulczynski + Imbalance Ratio should be used to judge the interestingness of a pattern
$\square$ Exercise: Mining research collaborations from research bibliographic data
- Find a group of frequent collaborators from research bibliographic data (e.g., DBLP)
$\square$ Can you find the likely advisor-advisee relationship and during which years such a relationship happened?
$\square$ Ref.: C. Wang, J. Han, Y. Jia, J. Tang, D. Zhang, Y. Yu, and J. Guo, "Mining Advisor-Advisee Relationships from Research Publication Networks", KDD' 10


## Mining Compressed Patterns

| Pat-ID | Item-Sets | Support |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P1 | $\{38,16,18,12\}$ | 205227 |
| P2 | $\{38,16,18,12,17\}$ | 205211 |
| P3 | $\{39,38,16,18,12,17\}$ | 101758 |
| P4 | $\{39,16,18,12,17\}$ | 161563 |
| P5 | $\{39,16,18,12\}$ | 161576 |

- Closed patterns
- P1, P2, P3, P4, P5
- Emphasizes too much on support
- There is no compression
- Max-patterns
- P3: information loss
- Desired output (a good balance):
- P2, P3, P4
$\square$ Why mining compressed patterns?
- Too many scattered patterns but not so meaningful
$\square$ Pattern distance measure

$$
\operatorname{Dist}\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=1-\frac{\left|T\left(P_{1}\right) \cap T\left(P_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|T\left(P_{1}\right) \cup T\left(P_{2}\right)\right|}
$$

$\square \delta$-clustering: For each pattern $P$, find all patterns which can be expressed by $P$ and whose distance to $P$ is within $\delta$ ( $\delta$-cover)
$\square$ All patterns in the cluster can be represented by $P$
$\square$ Method for efficient, direct mining of compressed frequent patterns (e.g., D. Xin, J. Han, X. Yan, H. Cheng, "On Compressing Frequent Patterns", Knowledge and Data Engineering, 60:5-29, 2007)

## Redundancy-Aware Top-k Patterns

$\square$ Desired patterns: high significance \& low redundancy

(a) a set of patterns

(c) traditional top- $k$

(b) redundancy-awart top-k

(d) summarization

- Method: Use MMS (Maximal Marginal Significance) for measuring the combined significance of a pattern set
- Xin et al., Extracting Redundancy-Aware Top-K Patterns, KDD’06


## Redundancy Filtering at Mining Multi-Level Associations

$\square$ Multi-level association mining may generate many redundant rules

- Redundancy filtering: Some rules may be redundant due to "ancestor" relationships between items
$\square$ milk $\Rightarrow$ wheat bread [support $=8 \%$, confidence $=70 \%$ ] ( 1 )
$\square 2 \%$ milk $\Rightarrow$ wheat bread [support $=2 \%$, confidence $=72 \%$ ] (2)
- Suppose the " $2 \%$ milk" sold is about " $1 / 4$ " of milk sold
$\square$ Does (2) provide any novel information?
$\square$ A rule is redundant if its support is close to the "expected" value, according to its "ancestor" rule, and it has a similar confidence as its "ancestor"
$\square$ Rule (1) is an ancestor of rule (2), which one to prune?


## Succinctness

$\square$ Succinctness:
$\square$ Given $A_{1}$, the set of items satisfying a succinctness constraint $C$, then any set $S$ satisfying $C$ is based on $A_{1}$, i.e., $S$ contains a subset belonging to $A_{1}$
$\square$ Idea: Without looking at the transaction database, whether an itemset $S$ satisfies constraint $C$ can be determined based on the selection of items
$\square \min (S$. Price $) \leq v$ is succinct
$\square$ sum(S.Price) $\geq v$ is not succinct
$\square$ Optimization: If C is succinct, C is pre-counting pushable

## Which Constraints Are Succinct?

| Constraint | Succinct |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{S}$ | yes |
| $\mathbf{S} \supseteq \mathbf{V}$ | yes |
| $\mathbf{S} \subseteq \mathbf{V}$ | yes |
| $\min (\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}$ | yes |
| $\min (\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}$ | yes |
| $\max (\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}$ | yes |
| $\max (\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}$ | yes |
| $\operatorname{sum}(\mathbf{S}) \leq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0})$ | no |
| $\operatorname{sum}(\mathbf{S}) \geq \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{a} \geq \mathbf{0})$ | no |
| $\operatorname{range(S)} \leq \mathbf{v}$ | no |
| $\operatorname{range(S)} \geq \mathbf{v}$ | no |
| $\mathbf{a v g ( S )} \theta \mathbf{v}, \theta \in\{=, \leq, \geq\}$ | no |
| $\operatorname{support}(\mathbf{S}) \geq \xi$ | no |
| $\operatorname{support}(\mathbf{S}) \leq \xi$ | no |

## Push a Succinct Constraint Deep

| Database D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID | Items |
| 100 | 134 |
| 200 | 235 |
| 300 | 1235 |
| 400 | 25 |



Constraint:
$\min \{S$. price $<=1\}$

## Sequential Pattern Mining

$\square$ Sequential Pattern and Sequential Pattern Mining
$\square$ GSP: Apriori-Based Sequential Pattern Mining
$\square$ SPADE: Sequential Pattern Mining in Vertical Data Format
$\square$ PrefixSpan: Sequential Pattern Mining by Pattern-Growth
$\square$ CloSpan: Mining Closed Sequential Patterns

