Forensic Computer Investigations

CSE 4471: Information Security
Instructor: Adam C. Champion, Ph.D.

Based on a presentation by Steve Romig (Ohio State U., Office of the CIO)
Definitions and Principles

• What is “Forensic Computer Investigation”?  
  – Forensic means “pertaining to the law”  
  – We have forensic anthropology, ballistics, genetics, chemistry, liquid splatter analysis, dentistry, …

• Good general introduction: Criminalistics by Richard Saferstein (Prentice Hall)
Why Bother? (1)

- Academic misconduct
- Policy/human resources issues
- Criminal incidents
- Civil incidents
- These same techniques are useful for general investigations on computers
  - The system crashed, why?
  - We were compromised, how?
Why Bother? (2)

• Some questions to ask:
  – How did they break in?
  – What damage was done?
  – Who did it?
  – Who else did they hit?

• We do it in a “forensically sound way” to:
  – Meet legal requirements
  – Reduce liability
  – Preserve evidence
The Four Steps (1)

• Good definition:
  – “Process of identifying, preserving, analyzing and presenting digital evidence in a manner that is legally acceptable in any legal proceedings (i.e., a court of law).”
  – Rodney McKennish: “1998 Donald Mackay Churchill Fellowship to Study Overseas Developments in Forensic Computing” (Australia)
The Four Steps (2)

• Identify the evidence
  – Must identify the type of information that is available
  – Determine how to best retrieve it
  – Examples: disk images, memory dumps, process listings, log files, network traffic logs, etc.
  – We may need to prioritize the evidence, based on what questions we’re trying to answer or what we expect to find
The Four Steps (3)

• Preserve the evidence
  – With the least amount of change possible
  – You must be able to account for any changes
  – How can you show that what you have now is identical to what you had way back then?
The Four Steps (4)

• Analyze the evidence
  – Extract, process, interpret
  – Extract: evidence collection may produce binary “gunk” that isn't human readable
  – Process: make it humanly readable
  – Interpret: requires a deeper understanding of how things fit together

• Your analysis should be reproducible
The Four Steps (5)

• Present the evidence
  – To law enforcement, attorneys, in court, etc.
  – Acceptance will depend on
    • Manner of presentation (did you make it understandable, convincing?)
    • The qualifications of the presenter
    • The credibility of the processes used to preserve and analyze the evidence
      • Credibility enhanced if you can duplicate the process
  – This is especially important when presenting evidence in court
Investigation Workflow

• Collect and analyze evidence to form one or more chronological sequences of events that fit the evidence

• We can't always be conclusive!
  – “The butler did it”
  – “Either the butler did it or he picked up the knife after the murder”

• A feedback loop: analysis leads to more evidence which feeds analysis…
# Five Points to Consider

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Admissibility</td>
<td>Conforms to legal requirements (“rules of evidence”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authenticity</td>
<td>Relevant to the case at hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness</td>
<td>Complete logs are better than extracts from logs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability</td>
<td>Evidence collected, handled appropriately</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Believability</td>
<td>Understandable and convincing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Legal Issues

- Best Evidence
- Hearsay
- The Frye and Daulbert Tests
- Chain of Custody
- Exculpatory Evidence
- Fruit of the Poisonous Tree
- Acting Under Color of Law
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Document the Scene

• Map the room(s)

• Take pictures

• Label everything
  – Permanent, or removable sticky notes (*not* Post-It® notes – they fall off)
  – Unique “tag” (e.g, 315-1-2 means room 315, computer 1, disk 2)

• Catalog everything
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Collect Volatile Evidence (1)

• *Volatile evidence*: evidence that will disappear soon, such as information about active network connections, or the current contents of volatile memory.

• Contrast this with persistent storage (e.g., the contents of a disk drive)
Collect Volatile Evidence (2)

- D. Farmer and W. Venema, Coroner’s Toolkit (http://www.porcupine.org)
  - Registers, peripheral memory, cache values...
  - Memory (virtual, physical)
  - Network state
  - Running processes/services
  - Loaded kernel modules/DLLs/drivers
  - Network shares
  - Mounted file systems
- Sleuthkit is more recent (http://www.sleuthkit.org/)
Collect Volatile Evidence (3)

• Your actions on the system will affect remaining evidence
  – Running `ps` will overwrite parts of memory
  – Your shell may overwrite its history file
  – You may affect file access times
  – There’s always the risk of trojans! (e.g. running programs via `gcore`)
Collect Volatile Evidence (4)

• Rootkits
  – Everything you know about a system is given to you through the software you use (the applications, the libraries, the operating system)
  – A rootkit is software that subverts the system to hide processes, files, network connections and so on
  – These often contain back doors, which give the intruder easy return access
  – Examples:
    – Hacker Defender (Windows)
    – 2005 Sony BMG CDs’ copy protection (later recalled)
    – Anti-cheating software packaged with some games
Collect Volatile Evidence (5)

• You need to use known, safe tools to examine a system
  – Statically linked
  – Or include your own libraries
  – Mount from floppy or CD, through net, or download through net

• Won’t help with kernel rootkits
Collect Volatile Evidence (6)

• Toolkit might include:
  – Microsoft Sysinternals’ FileMon, RegMon, Process Explorer, TCPView, Autoruns, RootkitRevealer, Dumpevt, Dumpreg...
  – F-Secure’s Blacklight
  – IceSword
  – Microsoft’s Windows Defender

• Live distros such as KNOPPIX (Linux), Windows “rescue” DVDs/USB drives
Collect Volatile Evidence (7)

• If you are collecting volatiles
  – Download/mount your tools (net, floppy, cd, flash)
  – Copy memory, swap, /tmp, pagefile.sys...
  – Get info about network state (connections, promiscuous interfaces)
  – Get info about running processes
  – Write results to flash drive or across the network: never to the local hard drive
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Turning a Computer Off

• When you examine a computer, should you:
  – Turn it off? Use the switch vs. battery/cord?
  – CTRL-ALT-DELETE, L1-A?
  – Reboot?
  – Unplug it from the net?
  – Filter it at the router?
  – Leave it running and examine it quickly?
Three-Fingered Salute

• Ctrl-Alt-Delete, L1-A (Suns), etc.
  – Can be caught, redirected to destruct routines
  – No real advantage to doing this (that I can think of; you might as well just power off).
Shutdown

• Shutdown/halt/sync would leave file systems clean
  – But these routines might be rigged for destruction

• Don’t reboot!
  – Worse than doing a shutdown!
  – Wiping `/tmp` on reboot (if it isn’t a RAM-disk)
  – Is it rigged to restart “bad stuff” (backdoors, destructive things) at reboot? Or later, through `cron`?
Unplug from Network

• If you unplug from the network or filter it...
  – What about “dead man switches” that detect when they're off the net and wipe evidence?
  – Marcus Ranum wrote about this in the CSI Alert, September 1999, #198
Leave it Running?

- Without unplugging from the network
  - Until you power it off
- This is probably safe in the short term
  - Risk increases with time, though
  - They might use it to do nasty business – liability?
  - They might wipe evidence, especially if they see you poking around
Power Off

• When you turn it off...
  – You lose volatile evidence: processes, network connections, mounted network file systems, contents of memory...
  – This is critical evidence in many cases: crackers increasingly store tools, logs on remotely mounted file systems
  – On the other hand, if you investigate on running system, you risk modifying the system (especially the disk)
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Imaging Disks (1)

– Get partition, RAID, logical volume management configuration
– Make copies of the hard drives (or RAIDs, partitions, ...)
– Calculate and compare hashes (MD5, SHA-1)
– Document and witness copying/verification!
– Reconstruct RAIDs, carve out logical volumes, etc.
Imaging Disks (2)

• Common tools include:
  – Helix, Knoppix live CDs
  – SMART (Linux live CD) from ASR
  – Forensic ToolKit (FTK) from Access Data
  – EnCase from Guidance Software
  – FTK Imager
  – Raid Reconstructor from Runtime Software
  – Unix dd, md5sum, shasum
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We Need to Know:

• Where the evidence is
• What the evidence means
• How to put it together
Where the Evidence Is

- Home system
- Phone system
- Modem pool
- Networks
- Victim computers
- Think about the components
- Ask questions, get expert advice
What the Evidence Means (1)

• This requires a deeper understanding
  – How evidence is created
  – Where it might be missing
  – Or wrong

• Get an expert, ask questions
What the Evidence Means (2)

• A champion.17 login entry in a UNIX wtmp file means...
  – Someone used the champion.17 account to login
  – Or inserted a fake entry
  – *Not* necessarily that Adam Champion logged in

• A DHCP lease means...
  – A computer was assigned the lease
  – *Not* that that computer was the one using that IP address during the lease time
Importance of Knowing

• Where the logs might be wrong
  – syslog, NetFlow exports are sent via UDP
  – Authentication logs from parallel authentication servers
  – NetFlow logs and asymmetric routes
  – Spoofed IP addresses
  – Writable logs (wtmp, utmp on old UNIX systems)
  – Logs modified by the cracker
Correlating Logs

• You can build stronger case if you can show multiple sources that are in agreement
• Relating log entries to each other
  – Matching log entries by value – e.g. IP address
  – Matching entries by time
Time-Related Issues

• We often use timestamps to correlate entries from different logs on different systems

• Problems include:
  – Time synchronization
  – Time zone
  – Event lag
  – Chronological order of events
  – Event bounding
Time Synchronization

• We can sometimes infer clock offset from the logs
  – Shell history on computer A shows telnet B at T1, TCP wrapper on computer B shows telnet from A at T2
  – Offset is probably T2 – T1

• We can't always do this: not enough info, event lag, etc.
Time Zones

• You can't compare apples to oranges
• Send, request time zone for all logs
• Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) offsets provide a useful reference point
• Make sure you do the math right
Event Lag (1)

• Event lag is the difference in time between related events in different types of logs
  – Connect from computer A to computer B using `telnet` and login
  – NetFlow log shows `telnet` starting at 13:05:12
  – TCP wrapper on computer B shows `telnet` at 13:05:12
  – `wtmp` shows actual login at 13:05:58

• Lag can have large variance
Event Lag (2)

• We can use session start time, duration to eliminate some sessions
  – Looking for dialup sessions in phone trace that “match” a login session on the modem pool that started at 2:03:22 and lasted 00:10:05
  – Sessions that start way before or after 2:03:22 probably don’t match
  – Sessions that are short than 00:10:05 don’t match
  – Sessions too much longer than 00:10:05 probably don’t match
Event Lab (3)

• Session ending time can sometimes be used to match more accurately than starting time
  – Hang up modem, terminal server terminates login session for you: short lag
  – Logout of UNIX, telnet session ends: short lag
Chronological Order of Events (1)

• Some logs are created in chronological order by the ending time of the session
  – Process accounting records on UNIX
  – Cisco NetFlow logs
  – TACACS+ session summary entries
Chronological Order of Events (2)

• This can be very confusing
  – Look through flow log, see traffic from computer, but not *telnet* traffic to computer – might not appear until 30 minutes later in the log
  – Look through process accounting logs, see sub-processes, but not shell process

• We often need to reorder by the starting time of the session
## Example Process Accounting Log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>User</th>
<th>Time (HH:MM:SS)</th>
<th>Duration (MM:SS)</th>
<th>Command</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:32:28</td>
<td>00:00:07</td>
<td><code>ls</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:33:02</td>
<td>00:00:05</td>
<td><code>cat</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:33:45</td>
<td>00:00:03</td>
<td><code>egrep</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:33:45</td>
<td>00:00:04</td>
<td><code>awk</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:33:45</td>
<td>00:00:04</td>
<td><code>sh</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>. . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tty1#user#</td>
<td>12:30:12</td>
<td>00:10:02</td>
<td><code>sh</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Event Bounding (1)

• We can use start, end times of one session to “bound” portions of other logs to focus our search for useful information
  – For instance, modem pool auth log shows session from T1 to T2
  – Probably not going to find flow logs for the corresponding IP address of interest outside of that session
  – This is obvious
Event Bounding (2)

- It is not obvious that we can’t always do this
  - Easy to leave processes running after your login session on Unix
  - Then there’s `at`, `cron`, `procmail` and so on
  - These will leave traces long after the modem pool session
Merging Logs

• Sometimes log entries are spread all over the place
  – Multiple parallel authentication servers
  – Multiple SMTP front ends
  – Multiple routers with asymmetric routing
• Need to merge logs from multiple sources
• Sort into chronological order
Reliability (1)

- Logs vary in reliability
- How are the logs protected?
  - Some wtmp, utmp files are world-writable
  - Shell history files are writable by their owners
- Depends on the integrity of software that creates log entries
  - Crackers replace these with versions that don’t log, or which log false entries – rootkit
Reliability (2)

• Is subject to the security of transmission over the network
  – syslog, NetFlow both use UDP
  – subject to data loss
  – subject to possible spoofing

• Guard against problems by correlating from as many sources as possible
Reliability (3)

• We will need to adjust theories to account for anomalies
  – See telnet session to computer, but there’s no login session
  – This might indicate rootkit installation
  – Doesn’t call into question validity of the theory that someone broke into the system – supports it
IP Address and Hostname Problems

- IP addresses can be spoofed
  - Need to recognize cases where this is likely/unlikely
  - Common in flooding
  - Uncommon in telnet

- Domain stealing, cache poisoning, etc.
  - IP address is “better” than the name it resolves to
  - Really want to log both
  - If you have to choose one, choose the IP address
Recognize What’s Missing

• Sometimes the stuff that's missing is what’s interesting
  – See long telnet in NetFlow to target
  – But there’s no login session
  – Raises suspicion that there’s a rootkit

• Example 1: We found a _ directory but it doesn’t contain anything
  – Might be empty
  – Might be a rootkit

• Example 2: Flow logs shows traffic to TCP/31337
  – But you can’t find a process listening on that port
  – There might be a rootkit
Overview of an OSU Case (1)

- We imaged the physical disk drives
- We “carved” the disks into logical disks used for each RAID
- We reconstructed the RAID as a disk image
- We examined these under EnCase, which allows us to see the partition/volume structure and file system contents
Overview of an OSU Case (2)

• We extracted file system timestamps, the Internet Explorer history, the Registry contents (with modification times), the IIS logs, all other logs named *.log, and the event logs

• We converted these to a common format

• We combined and sorted these chronologically, and then started our analysis
Overview of an OSU Case (3)

- As we identified times when “interesting” activity took place, we would go back to the system image in EnCase and extract the contents of other files, like the malware that was installed.
- We analyzed the malware to try to determine what it was and what it was capable of, how it got installed, files created/read, registry changes, etc.
  - Norman Sandbox, Virustotal and Sunbelt Sandbox are useful resources
Useful Tools (1)

• We use Guidance Software’s EnCase, a commercial product (https://guidancesoftware.com)

• Sleuthkit & Autopsy: open source alternatives (http://www.sleuthkit.org)

• Volatility Framework: open source tools for memory forensics (https://www.volatilesystems.com/default/volatility)
Useful Tools (2)

• Microsoft’s Sysinternals tools – Autoruns, Rootkit Revealer, Process Monitor/Explorer, TCPView, RegMon, FileMon, etc. (https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/sysinternals/ )
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