

Single Processor Scheduling Algorithms

- Batch systems
 - First Come First Serve (FCFS)
 - Shortest Job First
- Interactive Systems
 - Round Robin
 - Priority Scheduling
 - Multi Queue & Multi-level Feedback
 - Shortest process time
 - Guaranteed Scheduling
 - Lottery Scheduling
 - Fair Sharing Scheduling

First Come First Serve (FCFS)

- Process that requests the CPU FIRST is allocated the CPU FIRST.
- Also called FIFO
- Preemptive or Non-preemptive?
- Used in Batch Systems
- Real life analogy?
 - **Buying tickets?**
- Implementation
 - FIFO queues
 - A new process enters the tail of the queue
 - The schedule selects from the head of the queue.
- Performance Metric: **Average Waiting Time.**
- Given Parameters:
 - Burst Time (in ms), Arrival Time and Order

FCFS Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	24	1	0
P2	3	2	0
P3	4	3	0

The final schedule (Gantt chart):



P1 waiting time: 0
P2 waiting time: 24
P3 waiting time: 27

The average waiting time:
 $(0+24+27)/3 = 17$

What if P1 arrives at time 2

Problems with FCFS

- Non-preemptive
- Not optimal AWT
- Cannot utilize resources in parallel:
 - Assume 1 process CPU bounded and many I/O bounded processes
 - result: **Convoy effect**, low CPU and I/O Device utilization
 - Why?

Why Convoy Effects?

- ❑ Consider 100 I/O-bound processes and 1 CPU-bound job in the system.
- ❑ I/O-bound processes pass quickly through the ready queue and suspend themselves waiting for I/O.
- ❑ The CPU-bound process arrives at head of queue and executes the program until completion.
- ❑ I/O bound processes rejoin the ready queue and wait for the CPU-bound process releasing the CPU.
- ❑ I/O devices idle until the CPU-bound process completes.
- ❑ In general, a convoy effect happens when a set of processes need to use a resource for a short time, and one process holds the resource for a long time, blocking all of the other processes. Essentially, it causes poor utilization of the other resources in the system.

Shortest Job First (SJF)

- ❑ Schedule the job with the shortest duration time first
- ❑ Used in batch systems
- ❑ Two types:
 - Non-preemptive
 - Preemptive
- ❑ Requirement: the duration time needs to be known in advance
- ❑ Optimal if all jobs are available simultaneously (provable)
 - Gives the best possible AWT (average waiting time)

Non-preemptive SJF: Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	6	1	0
P2	8	2	0
P3	7	3	0
P4	3	4	0

Do it yourself



P4 waiting time: 0
 P1 waiting time: 3
 P3 waiting time: 9
 P2 waiting time: 16

The total time is: 24
 The average waiting time (AWT):
 $(0+3+9+16)/4 = 7$

Comparing to FCFS

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	6	1	0
P2	8	2	0
P3	7	3	0
P4	3	4	0

Do it yourself



P1 waiting time: 0
 P2 waiting time: 6
 P3 waiting time: 14
 P4 waiting time: 21

The total time is the same.
 The average waiting time (AWT):
 $(0+6+14+21)/4 = 10.25$
 (comparing to 7)

SJF Is Not Always Optimal

- Is SJF optimal if all the jobs are not available simultaneously?

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	10	1	0
P2	2	2	2

Do it yourself



P1 waiting time: 0
P2 waiting time: 8

The average waiting time (AWT):
 $(0+8)/2 = 4$

Preemptive SJF

- Also called **Shortest Remaining Time First**
 - Schedule the job with the shortest remaining time required to complete
- Requirement: **the duration time needs to be known in advance**

Preemptive SJF: Same Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	10	1	0
P2	2	2	2



P1 waiting time: $4 - 2 = 2$ The average waiting time (AWT):
P2 waiting time: 0 $(0 + 2) / 2 = 1$

A Problem with SJF

Starvation

- In some scenarios, a job may wait for ever
- Example: SJF
 - Process A with duration time of 1 hour arrives at time 0
 - But ever 1 minute, a shorter process with duration time of 2 minutes arrive
 - Result of SJF: A never gets to run

What's the difference between starvation and a deadlock?

Interactive Scheduling Algorithms

- ❑ Usually preemptive
 - Time is **sliced** into quantum (time intervals)
 - Scheduling decision is also made at the beginning of each quantum
- ❑ Performance Criteria
 - Min Response time
 - best proportionality
- ❑ Representative algorithms:
 - Priority-based
 - Round-robin
 - Multi Queue & Multi-level Feedback
 - Shortest process time
 - Guaranteed Scheduling
 - Lottery Scheduling
 - Fair Sharing Scheduling

Priority Scheduling

- ❑ Each job is assigned a priority.
- ❑ FCFS within each priority level.
- ❑ Select highest priority job over lower ones.
- ❑ Rationale: higher priority jobs are more mission-critical
 - Example: DVD movie player vs. send email
- ❑ Problems:
 - May not give the best AWT
 - Starvation

Set Priority

- Two approaches
 - Static (for system with well known and regular application behaviors)
 - Dynamic (otherwise)
- Priority may be based on:
 - Cost to user.
 - Importance of user.
 - Aging
 - Percentage of CPU time used in last X hours.

Round-Robin (RR)

- One of the oldest, simple, commonly used scheduling algorithms
- Select process/thread from ready queue in a round-robin fashion (take turns)

- Problems:
 - Do not consider priority
 - More context switch overhead

Round-robin: Example

Process	Duration	Order	Arrival Time
P1	3	1	0
P2	4	2	0
P3	3	3	0

Suppose time quantum is: 1 unit, P1, P2 & P3 never block

Do it yourself

P1 P2 P3 P1 P2 P3 P1 P2 P3 P2



P1 waiting time: 4

P2 waiting time: 6

P3 waiting time: 6

The average waiting time (AWT):

$$(4+6+6)/3 = 5.33$$